LAW OFFICE OF THOMAS K. BOURKE Thomas K. Bourke, State Bar No. 56333 Talltom2@aol.com
Rizwan R. Ramji, State Bar No. 210576 rramji@aol.com 3 One Bunker Hill, Eighth Floor 601 West Fifth Street Los Angeles, CA 90071-2094 Tel: (213) 623-1092 / Fax: (213) 623-5325 5 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Cunzhu Zheng, et al. 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 CUNZHU ZHENG; et al., No. CV-08-01068 MMC 11 PLAINTIFFS' EX PARTE Plaintiff, 12 APPLICATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME 13 VS. TO FILE OPPOSITIONS TO THE YAHOO!, INC., et al., YAHOO! DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS 14 TO DISMISS Defendants. 15 ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' APPLICATION; CONTINUING HEARING 16 ON MOTIONS TO DISMISS On July 24, 2009 the Court issued a scheduling order in this case 17 concerning the Yahoo! Defendants' motions to dismiss the Plaintiffs' Third 18 Amended Complaint in the action. The Court ordered that Plaintiffs' opposition to 19 the motion be filed on October 15, 2009. 20 Because of the complexity of the legal issues presented by the Yahoo! 21 Defendant's motions, Plaintiffs request an extension of one business day in which 22 to file their oppositions, so that the oppositions will be due on Monday, October 23 19, 2009. 24 Plaintiffs' counsel requested a stipulation from the Yahoo! Defendants' 25 counsel as to the requested extension and while counsel for the Yahoo! 26 27 28 1

Defendants said they would not sign such a stipulation, they did inform Plaintiffs' 1 counsel that they did not oppose the extension, provided they also received an 2 extra business day for the filing of their reply and a week's extension on 3 outstanding discovery responses from the Yahoo! Defendants. Counsel for 4 Plaintiffs agreed to these conditions. 5 Accordingly, Plaintiffs' counsel request an extension of one business day 6 from October 16, 2009 to October 19, 2009 to file their oppositions to the Yahoo! 7 Defendants' motions to dismiss. Likewise, they agree that the Yahoo! Defendants 8 shall have until November 2, 2009 to file their reply briefs in support of their motions to dismiss. 10 DATED: October 15, 2009 LAW OFFICE OF THOMAS K. BOURKE 11 12 13 Thomas K. Bourke Attorney for Plaintiffs Cunzhu Zheng, et al. 14 15 [Proposed] Order 16 IT IS SO ORDERED. Specifically, plaintiffs' oppositions, filed October 19, 2009, are 17 deemed timely filed and the deadline for defendants to file their reply briefs is extended to November 2, 2009. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the hearing opplefendants' motions to 18 dismiss is continued to December 4, 2009. JRT JUDGE 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 2