UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA EIDEX FAMILY PARTNERSHIP, L.P., No. C 08-1173 EMC Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S v. MOTION FOR REASSIGNMENT CARLVIN JUSTICE, Defendant.

Defendant Carlvin Justice has filed a motion seeking reassignment of this case from Judge Chen to another judge. The Court interprets his motion as a motion made pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(4), which provides that a "court may, for good cause shown on its own motion, or under extraordinary circumstances shown by any party, vacate a reference of a civil matter to a magistrate judge under this subsection." 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(4).

DENIES the request for reassignment. Mr. Justice has failed to show extraordinary circumstances justifying vacation of the reference to Judge Chen. There is no evidence that Mr. Justice's consent to Judge Chen's jurisdiction was made unknowingly or involuntarily. There is no evidence of, *e.g.*, any impropriety by Judge Chen; nor is there any evidence that Judge Chen has a personal bias or prejudice against Mr. Justice or in favor of Plaintiff Eidex Family Partnership, L.P. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 144. Mr. Justice asserts that Judge Chen's rulings were legally incorrect, but that does not constitute an extraordinary circumstance justifying the relief sought. Similarly, Mr. Justice's statement that it

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

1	would be more convenient for him to have the case litigated in Oakland, as opposed to San
2	Francisco, is not an extraordinary circumstance justifying the relief sought.
3	
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.
5	
6	Dated: October 3, 2008
7	
8	JEFFREY S. WHITE United States District Court Judge GENERAL DUTY JUDGE
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	