

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIAYUVAL LAPINER, Individually and on Behalf  
of All Others Similarly Situated,

No. C-08-1327 MMC

Plaintiff,

**ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S  
MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF  
SELECTION AS LEAD COUNSEL**

v.

CAMTEK, LTD., et al.,

Defendants.  

---

Before the Court is lead plaintiff Yuval Lapiner's ("Lapiner") motion, filed October 31, 2008, by which Lapiner seeks approval of his selection of counsel as lead counsel, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a)(3)(B)(v), and by which Lapiner requests an extension of time, of 30 days, in which to file his Consolidated Amended Complaint. Defendants have filed a "Statement of Position," by which defendants do not oppose either the proposed approval or extension, provided Lapiner is not granted further leave to amend following the filing of his Consolidated Amended Complaint and defendants are granted 45 days in which to respond to such complaint.<sup>1</sup> Lapiner has filed a reply.

Having read and considered the parties' respective submissions, the Court finds the matter appropriate for decision thereon, hereby VACATES the hearing scheduled for

---

<sup>1</sup>No potential class member has filed opposition to Lapiner's motion.

1 December 5, 2008, and, good cause appearing, hereby GRANTS the motion as follows:

2 1. Lapiner's selection of Lovell Stewart Halebian LLP as lead counsel is hereby  
3 APPROVED.

4 2. Lapiner shall file his Consolidated Amended Complaint no later than January 2,  
5 2009.

6 3. Defendants' request that the Court condition the above-ordered relief on a denial  
7 of further leave to amend is DENIED; if further leave to amend is sought, the Court will, at  
8 that time, consider whether good cause for such additional relief has been shown.

9 4. Defendants' request that the Court condition the above-ordered relief on  
10 defendants' proposed extension of time in which to answer or otherwise respond is  
11 DENIED, for the reason that defendants have failed to show good cause for such  
12 extension; if, after the filing of the Consolidated Amended Complaint, defendants can show  
13 good cause for an extension, defendants may file a stipulation to enlarge time, pursuant to  
14 Civil Local Rule 6-1, or a motion to change time, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-3.

15

16 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

17

18 Dated: December 2, 2008

19

  
MAXINE M. CHESNEY  
United States District Judge

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28