1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Lisa C. Hamasaki (SBN 197628) <u>Ich@millerlawgroup.com</u> Katherine L. Kettler (SBN 231586) <u>klk@millerlawgroup.com</u> Jennifer A. Shy (SBN 131074) <u>jas@millerlawgroup.com</u> MILLER LAW GROUP A Professional Corporation 111 Sutter Street, Suite 700 San Francisco, CA 94104 Tel. (415) 464-4300 Fax (415) 464-4336 Attorneys for Defendant AT&T UMBRELLA BENEFIT PLAN NO. 1			
10				
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
13	LOUIS J. VELA,	Case No.: CV 08 1575 MMC		
14 15				
15	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING CASE DEADLINES		
17	v.			
18	AT&T UMBRELLA BENEFIT PLAN NO. 1	Complaint filed: March 21, 2008 First Am. Complaint Filed: May 14, 2008 Second Am. Cmplt Filed: Oct. 10, 2008		
19	Defendant.			
20				
21				
22				
23				
24 25				
26				
27				
28				
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING CASE DEADLINES Case No.: CV 08 1575 MMC			
	Dockets.Justia.com			

WHEREAS, on February 17, 2009, the Court ordered the filing of briefs in
support of the Parties' Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment in this ERISA action, including
an order that Plaintiff Louis J. Vela ("Plaintiff") file and serve his brief in opposition to
Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and Reply in support of Plaintiff's Motion for
Summary Judgment by April 17, 2009, and an order that Defendant AT&T UMBRELLA
BENEFIT PLAN NO. 1 (hereinafter "Defendant") file and serve its Reply brief in support of
Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment by May 8, 2009;

9 WHEREAS, the Parties have not previously sought to continue or extend any
10 of the filing deadlines in this ERISA action related to the filing of the parties' Cross-Motions
11 for Summary Judgment;

12

17

21

22

MILLER LAW GROUP PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 8

WHEREAS, Defendant, through its counsel requests a one-week extension for
filing its Reply in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment in this ERISA action because
Defendant's counsel has conflicting deadlines in other cases including two depositions, and
Plaintiff, through his counsel agreed to this request;

18 WHEREAS the Parties' trust the Court would schedule a corresponding
19 extension of the scheduled May 22, 2009 hearing date on the Parties' respective Cross20 Motions for Summary Judgment;

NOW, THEREFORE,

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between Plaintiff and
Defendant, by and through their respective undersigned attorneys of record, to continue
Defendant's last day to file and serve Defendant's Reply brief in support of Defendant's
Motion for Summary Judgment, as well as the hearing on the parties' Cross-Motions for
Summary Judgment, as follows:

28

1			
2		Last day for Plaintiff to file and serve Opposition to	
3		Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and Reply brief in support of Plaintiff's Motion for	
4		Summary Judgment. (UNCHANGED).	
5 6	1	Last day for Defendant to file and serve Reply brief in support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment.	
7		Hearing on the parties' Cross-Motions for	
8	0.00 a m	Summary Judgment.	
9			
10		continuance of the existing filing deadline for	
11			
12	Defendant's Reply brief, and the hearing on the Parties' pending Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment, as set forth above.		
13			
14			
15	15 IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
16	Dated: April 16, 2009	GOLDSTEIN, GELLMAN, MELBOSTAD,	
17		GIBSON & HARRIS	
18			
19		By: /S/	
20		Lee S. Harris Attorneys for Plaintiff LOUIS J. VELA	
21 22		Automeys for Flaintin LOOIS J. VELA	
22	Dated: April 16, 2009	MILLER LAW GROUP	
23 24		A Professional Corporation	
24			
26		By: <u>/S/</u> Katherine L. Kettler	
27		Attorneys for Defendant AT&T UMBRELLA BENEFIT PLAN NO. 1	
28			
-		2	
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING CASE DEADLINES Case No.: CV 08 1575 MMC		

MILLER LAW GROUP A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

1	ORDER		
2			
3	Having reviewed the Stipulation executed by Plaintiff LOUIS J. VELA and		
4	Defendant AT&T UMBRELLA BENEFIT PLAN NO. 1, and good cause appearing, the Court		
5	hereby orders that the May 22, 2009 hearing on the parties' Cross-Motions for Summary		
6	Judgment, and date for Defendant to file its Reply brief in support of Defendant's Cross-		
7	Motion for Summary Judgment are VACATED, and are rescheduled pursuant to the		
8	schedule set forth below.		
9			
10	April 17, 2009	Last day for Plaintiff to file and serve Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and	
11		Reply brief in support of Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment. (UNCHANGED).	
12	May 15 0000		
13 14	May 15, 2009	Last day for Defendant to file and serve Defendant's Reply brief in support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment.	
15	May 29, 2009	Hearing on the parties' Motions for Summary	
16	9:00 a.m.	Judgment.	
17			
18			
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
20	Dated: April 17, 2009	Martine MA Chesnes	
21	Dated	The Honorable Maxine M. Stesney	
22		United States District Judge	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	3 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING CASE DEADLINES		
	Case No.: CV 08 1575 MMC		