1		
2		
3		
4		
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	SUN MICROSYSTEMS INC,	No. C-08-01641 EDL
9	Plaintiff,	ORDER REGARDING MARCH 11, 2010
10	v.	JOINT LETTER BRIEF
11	NETWORK APPLIANCE,	
12	Defendant.	
13		
14	On March 11, 2010, the parties filed a joint letter brief regarding issues that have arisen	
15	since the Court's December 21, 2009 Order regarding various discovery disputes. In short, the letter	
16	informs the Court that each party intends to file a Rule 37 motion to strike the other party's expert	
17	reports. NetApp seeks a suspension of case deadlines as to the '683 and '249 Patents to allow it	
18	time to file its motion in advance of the expert rebuttal deadline of March 17, 2010. Sun opposes the	
19	request for a suspension and proposes that expert discovery go forward as scheduled, or alternatively	
20	proposes that all currently set case deadlines be suspended pending the Court's consideration of the	
21	motions.	
22	The Court Orders that the currently set case deadlines, including exchange of rebuttal	
23	expert reports, expert discovery cutoff, and summary judgment briefing and hearings, are	
24	temporarily suspended pending the Court's ruling on the parties' Rule 37 motions. The parties are	
25	Ordered to meet and confer about a briefing schedule and hearing date for their respective Rule 37	
26	motions, and	
27	//	
28	//	

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

28

1	
1	
2	to notice both motions for the same date. The Court will re-set the existing case deadlines following
3	its ruling on the Rule 37 motions.
4	
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	
7	Dated: March 12, 2010 Elijah D. Legate
8	ELIZABETH D. L'APORTE United States Magistrate Judge
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	