## FILED

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

\_\_\_\_\_

SHIRLEY VENOYA REMMERT,

Petitioner,

v.

ORDER

No. 09-73566

THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Respondent.

Before: SILVERMAN, PAEZ and BEA, Circuit Judges.

The application for authorization to file a second or successive 28 U.S.C.

§ 2254 habeas corpus petition in the district court is denied. Petitioner has not

made a prima facie showing under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(2) that:

(A) the claim relies on a new rule of constitutional law, made retroactive to cases on collateral review by the Supreme Court, that was previously unavailable; or

(B)(i) the factual predicate for the claim could not have been discovered previously through the exercise of due diligence; and (ii) the facts underlying the claim, if proven and viewed in light of the evidence as a whole, would be sufficient to establish by clear and convincing evidence that, but for constitutional error, no reasonable factfinder would have found the petitioner guilty of the underlying offense.

All pending requests are denied as moot.

AM/MOATT

JAN 21 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS No petition for rehearing or motion for reconsideration shall be filed or entertained in this case. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)(E).