

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JULIE CAMPANELLI, et al.,)	
)	
Plaintiff(s),)	No. C 08-1862 BZ
)	
v.)	ORDER RE: OPT-INS
)	
THE HERSHEY COMPANY,)	
)	
Defendant(s).)	
)	
_____)	

Both parties have submitted letters requesting resolution of three issues. Having reviewed the letters, **IT IS ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:**


1. Plaintiffs' request to extend the opt-in period for former Hershey RSRs is **DENIED**. However, I will consider individual applications by former Hershey RSRs to opt-in late upon a showing of good cause. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b).

2. Plaintiffs' request to send a reminder postcard to individuals who have not opted-in is **GRANTED**. The postcard **SHALL** include the correct opt-in deadline which requires that the notices be postmarked on or before **December 1, 2010**. Plaintiffs **SHALL** file a copy of the proposed postcard by

1 **Friday, November 19, 2010.**

2 3. In as much as there is a discrepancy between when the
3 notices are due, notices postmarked on or before December 1,
4 2010 shall be valid.

5 Dated: November 17, 2010

6 
7 _____
8 Bernard Zimmerman
9 United States Magistrate Judge

10 G:\BZALL\BZCASES\CAMPANELLI V. HERSHEY\nOTICE ORD 2.wpd

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28