1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	JULIE CAMPANELLI, et al., )
12	Plaintiff(s), ) No. C 08-1862 BZ
13	V. ) ORDER RE EVIDENTIARY
14	)OBJECTIONS FOR PLAINTIFFS'THE HERSHEY COMPANY,)PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT)MOTION ON EXEMPTIONS
15	Defendant(s).
16	)
17	There are two evidentiary objections before the Court in
18	connection with plaintiffs' motion for partial summary
19	judgment (Docket No. 315) regarding the outside sales and
20	administrative exemptions.
21	1. Defendant has objected to plaintiffs submitting

21 1. Defendant has objected to plaintiffs submitting 22 exhibits in their reply that they did not submit in their 23 moving papers. Docket No. 372. The Court overrules this 24 objection with respect to exhibits that were not available at 25 the time plaintiffs filed their motion. Defendant had an 26 opportunity to bring to the Court's attention any favorable 27 testimony from these witnesses when it filed its request to 28 take additional opt-in depositions (Docket No. 370) which the

1

Court has read. Because the Court does not rely on
 plaintiffs' other reply exhibits, defendant's objection is
 overruled as moot.
 2. In its reply, plaintiffs have objected to defendant's
 use of declarations from RSRs that the Court previously
 struck. See Docket No. 107. The objection is overruled to
 the limited extent that the Court relied on such declarations.

8 <u>See</u> footnote 8 of the Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for
9 Partial Summary Judgment.

10 Dated: February 23, 2011

Bernard/Zimmerman United States Magistrate Judge

G:\BZALL\-BZCASES\CAMPANELLI V. HERSHEY\SUMMARY JUDGMENT EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS.wpd