Continental D.I.A	Diamond Products, Inc. v. Dong Young Diamond Inc. Case3:08-cv-02136-SI Document12		Doc. 133
	Cases.06-cv-02136-St Documentia	26 Filed06/07/09 Page Fol 2	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
11	CONTINENTAL D.I.A. DIAMOND	Case No. CV 08-2136 SI	
12	PRODUCTS, INC., a California corporation,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER DISMISSING DEFENDANTS'	
13	Plaintiff,	FIFTH AND SEVENTH CAUSES OF	
14	VS.	ACTION ASSERTED IN THEIR AMENDED COUNTERCLAIMS WITH	[
15	DONG YOUNG DIAMOND INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD., a South Korean company,	PREJUDICE	
16	DONGSOO LEE, an individual, and DOES 1-		
17	10, inclusive,	Judge: Honorable Susan Illston	
18	Defendants.	Complaint Filed: April 24, 2008	
19 20		Trial Date: February 16, 2010	
20	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.		
22	Continental D.I.A. Diamond Products, I	nc. ("Continental") and Dong Young Diamond	
23	Industrial Co., Ltd. and DongSoo Lee (collectively "Defendants"), by and through their undersigned		ned
24	counsel, hereby stipulate that the following counterclaims asserted by Defendants against		
25	Continental in their Amended Counterclaims (Dkt. No. 113) are hereby dismissed with prejudice:		:
26	1. The Fifth Cause of Action for Intentional Interference with Prospective Economic		
27	Advantage and Punitive Damages; and		
28	2. The Seventh Cause of Action for Commercial Defamation.		
	- 1 - STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER DISMISSING DEFENDANTS' FIFTH AND SEVENTH CAUSES OF		
	ACTION ASSERTED IN THEIR AMENDED COUNTE	ERCLAIMS WITH PREJUDICE; CASE NO.: CV 08-2136 Dockets.	SI Justia.com

## Case3:08-cv-02136-SI Document128 Filed08/07/09 Page2 of 2

1	Continental and Defendants further stipulate that Defendants will file a further amended		
2	counterclaim removing these causes of action and the allegations related thereto within ten (10)		
3	court days of the Court's entry of dismissal. Defendants will provide Continental with a proposed		
4	amended counterclaim for review before filing.		
5	IT IS SO STIPULATED BY THE PARTIES.		
6			
7	Dated: August 7, 2009 MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO P.C.		
8	/s/ Jeffrey M. Ratinoff By: JEFFREY M. RATINOFF		
9 10 11	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant, Continental D.I.A. Diamond Products, Inc.		
12 13	Dated: August 7, 2009 PRETI FLAHERTY BELIVEAU & PACHIOS, LLP		
14	/s/ Alfred C. Frawley By: ALFRED C. FRAWLEY		
15			
16	Attorneys for Defendants and Counterclaimants, Dong Young Diamond Industrial Co., Ltd., and DongSoo Lee		
17			
18	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
19			
20	Dated:		
21	Susan Selator		
22	THE HONORABLE SUSAN ILLSTON		
23	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	4685976v.1		
	- 2 - STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER DISMISSING DEFENDANTS' FIFTH AND SEVENTH CAUSES OF		
	ACTION ASSERTED IN THEIR AMENDED COUNTERCLAIMS WITH PREJUDICE; CASE NO.: CV 08-2136 SI		