ental D.I.A	Case3:08-cv-02136-SI Document13	•	age1 of 3	DOC. 143	
1	JEFFREY M. RATINOFF (SBN 197241) Email: jratinoff@mintz.com				
2					
3	MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO P.C.				
4	5 Palo Alto Square - 6 th Floor				
5	3000 El Camino Real Palo Alto, California 94306-2155				
6	Telephone: (650) 251-7700 Facsimile: (650) 251-7739				
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant, CONTINENTAL D.I.A. DIAMOND PRODUCTS, INC.				
8					
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
12	CONTINENTAL D.I.A. DIAMOND	Case No. CV 08-2	136 SI		
13	PRODUCTS, INC., a California corporation,	JOINT STIPULATION AND			
14	Plaintiff, [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR MODIFICATION OF THE				
15	VS.	SCHEDULING ORDER RE: DISCOVERY DEADLINES			
16	DONG YOUNG DIAMOND INDUSTRIAL	Judge:	Honorable Susan Illstor	,	
17	CO., LTD., a South Korean company, DONGSOO LEE, an individual, and DOES 1-	C			
18	10, inclusive,	Complaint Filed: Trial Date:	April 24, 2008 February 16, 2010		
19	Defendants.				
20	Detendants.				
21	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.				
22					
23	<u>STIPULATION</u>				
24	WHEREAS, counsel for Continental D.I.A. Diamond Products, Inc. ("Continental" or				
25	"Plaintiff") and Defendants Dong Young Diamond Industrial Co., Ltd. and counsel for DongSoo				
26	Lee (collectively "Defendants") attended the Aug	gust 14, 2009 case mana	agement conference.		
27	WHEREAS, at the conference Continental informed the Court that it would require an				
28	additional seven (7) days to complete fact discovery and an additional thirty (30) days to complete				
	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR DISCOVERY DEADLINES		E SCHEDULING ORDER RE CASE NO.: CV 08-2136 Dockets.J	SI Justia.com	

Doc. 143

Continental D.I.A Diamond Products, Inc. v. Dong Young Diamond Industrial Co., Ltd. et al

1	xpert discovery due to Defendants' delay in the production of documents required by the Court's			
2	July 17, 2009 Order. See Dkt. No. 114.			
3	WHEREAS, Defendants agreed to such extensions of the discovery deadlines.			
4	WHEREAS, on August 18, 2009 the Court entered an order: (1) advancing the hearing on			
5	motions for summary judgment from December 4, 2009 to December 3, 2009; (2) requiring			
6	Defendants to produce all responsive documents in compliance with the Court's July 17, 2009 order			
7	by August 28, 2009; and (3) requiring Continental to draft a proposed scheduling order regarding			
8	discovery deadlines. See Dkt. No. 138.			
9	WHEREAS, the agreed upon extension of the fact and expert discovery deadlines is not for			
10	the purpose of delay, and the parties believe that this reasonable extension of time will not affect			
11	any of the other dates set by the Court, including the February 16, 2010 trial date, and is in the			
12	interests of fairness and in the interests of justice.			
13	ACCORDINGLY, the parties, by and through their undersigned counsel, HEREBY			
14	STIPULATE as follows:			
15	In order to avoid prejudicing the parties' discovery efforts and trial preparations, and to			
16	allow the parties sufficient time to complete fact and expert discovery, the parties agree and			
17	respectfully request that the current pre-trial schedule (See Dkt. Nos. 44-2, 78, 95-96, 99) be			
18	modified as follows:			
19	1. The fact discovery cut-off, which is currently set for September 28, 2009, is			
20	extended to October 5, 2009;			
21	2. The deadline to designate experts, which is currently set for October 12, 2009, is			
22	extended to November 12, 2009;			
23	3. The deadline to designate rebuttal experts, which is currently set for November 5,			
24	2009, is extended to December 7, 2009; and			
25				
26				
27				
28				

Case3:08-cv-02136-SI Document139 Filed08/20/09 Page3 of 3

1	4. The expert discovery cut-off, which is currently November 30, 2009 is extended to			
2	December 23, 2009.			
3	IT IS SO STIPULATED BY THE PARTIES.			
4	Dated: August 20, 2009 MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO P.C.			
5				
6	/s/ Jeffrey M. Ratinoff By: JEFFREY M. RATINOFF			
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant,			
8	Continental D.I.A. Diamond Products, Inc.			
9				
10	Dated: August 20, 2009 PRETI FLAHERTY BELIVEAU & PACHIOS, LLP			
11	/s/ Alfred C. Frawley			
12	By: ALFRED C. FRAWLEY			
13	Attorneys for Defendants/Counterclaimants, Dong Young Diamond Industrial Co., Ltd., and DongSoo Lee			
14	Dong Toding Diamond industrial Co., Etd., and Dongsoo Ecc			
15				
16	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.			
17				
18 19	Dated:			
20				
21	Suran Illaton			
22	THE HONORABLE SUSAN ILLSTON			
23	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE			
24				
25				
26	4695589v.2			
27				
28				
	- 3 -			