Continental D.I.A	Diamond Products, Inc. v. Dong Young Diamond Ind			. 146
	Case3:08-cv-02136-SI Document14	I5 Filed09/01/09 P	age1 of 3	
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES	5 DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
11	CONTINENTAL D.I.A. DIAMOND	Case No. CV 08-2	136 SI	
12	PRODUCTS, INC., a California corporation,	JOINT STIPULA		
13	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] O MODIFICATIO		
14	vs.	MANAGEMENT TRIAL DATE	SCHEDULE AND	
15	DONG YOUNG DIAMOND INDUSTRIAL	Judge:	Honorable Susan Illston	
16	CO., LTD., a South Korean company, DONGSOO LEE, an individual, and DOES 1-	-		
17	10, inclusive,	Complaint Filed: Trial Date:	April 24, 2008 February 16, 2010	
18	Defendants.			
19				
20	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.			
21 22	STIDULATION			
22	STIPULATION WHEPEAS, counsel for Continental D.I.A. Diamond Products, Inc. ("Continental" or			
23	WHEREAS, counsel for Continental D.I.A. Diamond Products, Inc. ("Continental" or "Plaintiff") and Defendants Dong Young Diamond Industrial Co., Ltd. and counsel for DongSoo			
25	Lee (collectively "Defendants") are actively engaged in settlement discussions which respective			
26	counsel believe will lead to a final resolution of this matter.			
27	WHEREAS, counsel believe that a thirty (30) day suspension of applicable deadlines in this			
28	case will allow the parties and counsel to devote their attention and resources to a final resolution of			
	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FO AND TRIAL DATE	- 1 - R MODIFICATION CASE :	MANAGEMENT SCHEDULE CASE NO.: CV 08-2136 SI Dockets.Justia	ı.com

Case3:08-cv-02136-SI Document145 Filed09/01/09 Page2 of 3

1	this matter, and			
2	WHEREAS, the agreed upon extension of the fact and expert discovery deadlines,			
3	dispositive motion deadlines and the trial date is not for the purpose of delay, and the parties believe			
4	that this reasonable extension of time may well obviate the need for trial in this matter, and is in the			
5	interests of fairness and in the interests of justice.			
6	ACCORDINGLY, the parties, by and through their undersigned counsel, HEREBY			
7	STIPULATE as follows:			
8	In order to avoid prejudicing the parties' discovery efforts and trial preparations, and to			
9	allow the parties to focus on settlement negotiations, the parties agree and respectfully request that			
10	the current pre-trial schedule be modified as follows:			
11	1.			
12	2. The fact discovery cut-off, which is currently set for October 5, 2009, is extended to			
13	November 5, 2009;			
14	3. The deadline to designate experts, which is currently set for November 12, 2009, is			
15	extended to December 14, 2009;			
16	4. The deadline to designate rebuttal experts, which is currently set for December 7,			
17	2009, is extended to January 7, 2010; and			
18	5. The expert discovery cut-off, which is currently December 23, 2009 is extended to			
19	January 25, 2010.			
20	6. The dates for dispositive motions is extended for thirty days, to the following			
21	schedule:			
22	• Dispositive Motions Due: November 30, 2009			
23	• Oppositions Due: December 11, 2009			
24	• Replies Due: December 21, 2009			
25	7. The hearing on dispositive motions, which is currently December 3, 2009, is reset for			
26	15 January 4, 2010, or as soon thereafter as the Court's schedule permits.			
27	///			
28	///			
	- 2 - JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR MODIFICATION CASE MANAGEMENT SCHEDULE AND TRIAL DATE CASE NO.: CV 08-2136 SI			

	Case3:08-cv-02136-SI Document145 Filed09/01/09 Page3 of 3				
	The pretrial conference has been continued to Tuesday, March 3/2/10 @ 3:30 p.m.				
1	8. The trial date, which is currently February 16, 2010, is adjourned for thirty days, to				
2	15 March 16, 2010 or as soon thereafter as the Court's schedule permits				
3	9. All other deadlines are tolled for 30 days as of the date of this stipulation.				
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED BY THE PARTIES.				
5	Dated: September 1, 2009 MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO P.C.				
6					
7	/s/ Jeffrey M. Ratinoff By: JEFFREY M. RATINOFF				
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant,				
9	Continental D.I.A. Diamond Products, Inc.				
10					
11	Dated: September 1, 2009 PRETI FLAHERTY BELIVEAU & PACHIOS, LLP				
12					
13	<i>/s/ Alfred C. Frawley</i> By: ALFRED C. FRAWLEY				
14	Attorneys for Defendants/Counterclaimants,				
15	Dong Young Diamond Industrial Co., Ltd., and DongSoo Lee				
16					
17	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.				
18					
19	Dated:				
20					
21	Suran Selaton				
22	and bound				
23	THE HONORABLE SUSAN ILLSTON UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE				
24					
25					
26	4704210v1				
27					
28	- 3 -				
	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR MODIFICATION CASE MANAGEMENT SCHEDULE				
	AND TRIAL DATE CASE NO.: CV 08-2136 SI				