

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MAROUANE OUZIZ,

Plaintiff,

No. C 08-02201 WHA

v.

CAPITAL ONE SERVICES, GREGORY
 TEETER, individually and as agent for
 CAPITOL MORTGAGE CORPORATION,
 AMANDA RAWLS, individually and as agent
 for CAPITOL MORTGAGE
 CORPORATION, and KEVEN NAFAL,

Defendants.

**REMINDER NOTICE OF
 UPCOMING TRIAL AND
 FINAL PRETRIAL
 CONFERENCE**

This notice serves as a friendly reminder that this case remains set for a **FINAL
 PRETRIAL CONFERENCE** on **SEPTEMBER 4, 2009, AT 2:00 P.M.**, with a **JURY TRIAL** on
SEPTEMBER 28, 2009, AT 7:30 A.M. Please consult the existing case management order and
 review and follow all standing guidelines and orders of the undersigned for civil cases on the
 Court's website at <http://www.cand.uscourts.gov>. Continuances will rarely be granted.

The final pretrial conference will be an important event, for it will be there that the
 shape of the upcoming trial will be determined, including *in limine* orders, time limits and
 exhibit mechanics. Lead trial counsel must attend.

To avoid any misunderstanding with respect to the final pretrial conference and trial,
 the Court wishes to emphasize that all filings and appearances must be made — on pain of

1 dismissal, default or other sanction — unless and until a dismissal fully resolving the case is
2 received. It will not be enough to inform the clerk that a settlement in principle has been
3 reached or to lodge a partially executed settlement agreement or to lodge a fully executed
4 agreement (or dismissal) that resolves less than the entire case. Where, however, a
5 fully-executed and unconditional settlement agreement clearly and fully disposing of the entire
6 case is lodged reasonably in advance of the pretrial conference or trial and only a ministerial
7 act remains, the Court will arrange a telephone conference to work out an alternate procedure
8 pending a formal dismissal.


9 In order to evaluate whether the Court can be of further ADR assistance, please file a
10 joint report within fourteen days of service of this order describing the progress and status of
11 your ADR efforts to date and any further ADR recommendations by the parties.

12 In this case, the Court wishes to consider the following additional trial procedures and
13 desires that counsel meet and confer and reach a stipulation concerning whether and how to
14 use them:

- 15 1. Scheduling opposing experts so as to appear in successive order;
- 16 2. Giving preliminary instructions on the law;
- 17 3. Allowing limited pre-closing deliberations (as per, *e.g.*, Rule 39
18 of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure); and
- 19 4. Allowing each side fifteen minutes of opening/argument time to
20 be used during the evidence time (in addition to normal opening statement and
21 closing argument).

22 Please present the results of your stipulation (or not) in the joint pretrial conference
23 submissions.

24
25
26 Dated: June 12, 2009.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE