



1 to resist the summary judgment motion." State of Cal v Campbell,  
2 138 F3d 772, 779 (9th Cir 1998).

3 While it is true that plaintiff's declaration sets forth  
4 her alleged difficulties in obtaining discovery from Globe,  
5 plaintiff fails to identify any specific facts or particular  
6 factual issues material to her opposition that she hopes further  
7 discovery would produce. At a hearing on January 7, 2010,  
8 plaintiff's counsel was given an opportunity to supplement his  
9 claim that further discovery was necessary in order to oppose  
10 Globe's summary judgment motion. Counsel again failed to identify  
11 specific information that further discovery would likely produce.

12 Because plaintiff has failed to comply with the  
13 requirements of FRCP 56(f) in that she did not specify what  
14 evidence she sought to discover that might have precluded summary  
15 judgment, plaintiff's FRCP 56(f) declaration fails to justify her  
16 failure to oppose Globe's summary judgment motion.

17 Plaintiff shall file her opposition to Globe's motion for  
18 summary judgment on or before February 11, 2010. Globe may file  
19 its reply on or before February 25, 2010, at which time the matter  
20 will be submitted with no further hearing unless ordered by the  
21 court.

22 Northwest Airlines' motion for summary judgment will be  
23 heard at 10 AM on March 11, 2010.

24  
25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26  
27 

28  
VAUGHN R WALKER  
United States District Chief Judge