Cotterill v. City and County of San Francisco et al

v. U	ty and County of San Francisco et al		
	Case3:08-cv-02295-JSW Docum	ent217 Filed10/13/09 Page1 of 3	
1	DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669		
2	City Attorney JOANNE HOEPER, State Bar #114961		
3	Chief Trial Deputy SCOTT D. WIENER, State Bar #189266		
4	Deputy City Attorney JAMES F. HANNAWALT, State Bar #139657		
5	Deputy City Attorney 1390 Market Street, 7th Floor		
6	San Francisco, California 94102-5408 Telephone: (415) 554-4283		
7	Facsimile: (415) 554-3837		
8	Attorneys for Defendants CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,		
9	HEATHER FONG, MATTHEW MASON, HUGH HALL, GREGORY HICKS, LEON LO		
10	JOHN CRUDO, PAUL DAVIES, JEFF ADACI AND ROBERT BUNKER	11,	
11	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	CHERYL COTTERILL,	Case No. CV-08-02295 JSW	
14	Plaintiff,	ERRATA TO DEFENDANTS'	
15	VS.	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR LEAVE OF COURT TO FILE 14-PAGE	
16	VS. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN	REPLY TO PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS'	
17	FRANCISCO, ET AL.	MOTION FOR SUMMARY	
18	Defendants.	JUDGMENT AND ORDER THEREON Date : October 23, 2009	
19		Time : 9:00 a.m. Place : Courtroom 11, 19 th Floor	
20		Hon. Jeffrey S. White	
21		Trial Date : December 7, 2009	
22			
23	Defendants hereby request leave of court to file a Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to		
24	Defendants' Motion For Summary Judgment in excess of court's the ten-page limit. Defendants'		
25	request leave to file a fourteen-page Reply. The	defendants' request an additional four pages of text	
26	because Plaintiff filed a thirty-nine page Opposi	tion containing numerous assertions of fact that are	
27	either unsupported by any citation to evidence a	nd/or that misstate the record of the evidence.	
28	Addressing many of Plaintiff's assertions is nece	essary to show why there is no dispute of material fact	

ERRATA to Admin Motion for Leave of Court Cotterill v. CCSF, et al. – USDC No. CV-08-02295 JSW

Dockets.Justia.com

n:\lit\li2008\081193\00587439.doc

1	that raises a triable issue in this case. Further, plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint alleged twenty-		
2	one causes of action, in addition to styling two remedies as causes of action, and arguments related to		
3	most these issues are addressed by Defendants' Reply.		
4	For the foregoing reasons, Defendants' respectfully request that the court order that		
5	Defendants' be permitted to file a fourteen page Reply.		
6	Dated: October 13, 2009 DENNIS J. HERRERA City Attorney		
7 8	JOANNE HOEPER Chief Trial Deputy		
9	SCOTT D. WIENER Deputy City Attorney		
10	JAMES F. HANNAWALT		
11	Deputy City Attorney		
12	By: <u>/s/</u> JAMES F. HANNAWALT		
13	Attorneys for Defendants CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
14	HEATHER FONG, MATHEW MASON, HUGH HALL, GREGORY HICKS,		
15	LEON LOEW, JOHN CRUDO, PAUL DAVIES, JEFF ADACHI, and ROBERT BUNKER		
16	Declaration of James F. Hannawalt		
17	I, James Hannawalt, declare as follows:		
18	1. I am a Deputy City Attorney in the Office of the San Francisco City Attorney. I have personal		
19	knowledge of the contents of this declaration, and I could and would testify competently thereto if		
20	called upon to do so.		
21	2. The facts stated in the foregoing motion are accurate.		
22	1 3. I attempted to obtain a supulation from plantin's counsel for a Keply of up to inteen pages.		
23	As of this writing, I have received no response from plaintin's counsel.		
24	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true		
25 26	and correct and that this declaration was executed onOctober 13, 2009, at San Francisco, California.		
27	/s/		
28	James F. Hannawalt		
	ERRATA to Admin Motion for Leave of Courtn:\lit\li2008\081193\00587439.docCotterill v. CCSF, et al. – USDC No. CV-08-02295 JSW		

1

2

ORDER

3	
4	Defendants are granted leave to file a fourteen-page Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to
5	Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment.
6	It is so Ordered.
7	\sim
8	Dated: October 14, 2009
9	JEFFELSWAITE
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26 27	
27	
20	ERRATA to Admin Motion for Leave of Court n:\lit\li2008\081193\00587439.doc Cotterill v. CCSF, et al. – USDC No. CV-08-02295 JSW