Gremp v. Little et al Doc. 116

1	EDMUND G. Brown Jr.		
2	Attorney General of California TOM BLAKE Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 51885 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-5506 Fax: (415) 703-5480 E-mail: Tom.Blake@doj.ca.gov		
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	Attorneys for State Defendants Patrick Taylor and Glenn Van Schaack		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
11			
12	LARY W. GREMP, CV 08 2303 MMC		
13	Plaintiff, MOTION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE		
14	RELIEF RE DISCOVERY [Civil Local v. Rules 7-11, 16-1]; Proposed ORDER		
15	SETTING MOTIONS FOR HEARING ON SEPTEMBER 11, 2009 AT 9:00 A.M.		
16	SARAH LITTLE, et al.,  Date: September 11, 2009 Time: 10:00 a.m.		
17	Defendants. Dept: Courtroom 8, 19th Floor Judge The Hon. Maxine M. Chesney		
18	Trial Date November 9, 2009 Action Filed: May 2, 2008		
19	COME NOW the State Defendants, Patrick Taylor and Glenn Van Schaack, and		
20	respectfully request that the court enter an Order continuing for one week the motion for		
21			
22	summary judgment that is now filed contemporaneously with this motion. The State Defendants		
23	are noticing their motion for summary judgment with a hearing date of September 11, 2009,		
24	pursuant to the Court's Pretrial Preparation Order of December 22, 2008 (Docket No. 63). The		
25	moving parties note that the order requires that the hearing be noticed for 35 days from date of		
26 27	filing and have complied with the order. However, the counsel for State Defendants is required to		
28	appear at that same date and time in the matter of <i>Parker v. Nishiyama</i> , Case No. C-08-4750-CRB		
۷۵	1		
	Admin. Motion to Continue Hearing; P&A's; [Proposed] Order Case No. CV08 2303 MMC		

1	(No. Dist. Calif.). The appearance in the <i>Parker</i> n	natter, a motion to dismiss, was previously set	
2	by counsel for co-defendants.		
3	Counsel have conferred concerning the dat	es. Plaintiff's counsel, Jordan Stanzler, agrees	
4	with the requested change. This change requires no alteration of the other dates.		
5	POINTS AND AUTHORITIES		
6	THE COURT MAY MODIFY THE CASE MANAGEMENT SCHEDULE		
7			
8	The Court has the authority to modify the Cas	se Management schedule. Civil L.R. 16-2(d).	
9			
10	Dated: August 7, 2009	Respectfully Submitted,	
11 12		EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California	
13			
14			
15		/s/ <i>Tom Blake</i> Tom Blake	
16		Deputy Attorney General	
17		Attorneys for State Defendants Patrick Taylor and Glenn Van Schaack	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	2		

1 2	- <del>[Proposed]</del> ORDER
3	The Motions for Summary Judgment filed by both sides will be heard on September
4	
5	
6	
7	Dated: August <u>18</u> , 2009
8	Mafine M. Cherry
9	I HON MAXINEM CHESNEY
10	United States District Judge
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	3
	i J