

1
2
3
4
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7

8 INTEL CORPORATION,

No. C 08-02334 SI

9 Plaintiff,

**ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S
REQUEST FOR A CONTINUANCE**

10 v.

11 INTELCOM, INC., et al.,

12 Defendants.
13 _____/

14 In its August 12, 2008 Order, the Court granted plaintiff's motion to strike a *pro per* response
15 filed by corporate defendant Intelcom, Inc. The Court stated that it would allow defendant time to find
16 an attorney and serve an amended response on or before September 5, 2008. Defendant now requests
17 a continuance of one month in which to file a responsive pleading. **The Court GRANTS defendant**
18 **a continuance until September 19, 2008.** Defendant is again warned that if it does not appear through
19 counsel by that date, plaintiff may seek declaration of an event of default.
20

21 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

22
23 Dated: September 8, 2008


24 _____
WILLIAM ALSUP
United States District Judge
25
26
27
28