

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE WELLS FARGO HOME
MORTGAGE OVERTIME PAY
LITIGATION

No. C-06-1770 EMC

THIS CASE RELATES TO ALL CASES
_____ /

JUSTINE BODMER,

Plaintiff,

No. C-08-2351 EMC

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

v.

WELLS FARGO BANK,

Defendant.
_____ /

Previously, a clerk’s notice was issued in this case requiring the filing of a case management conference statement. *See* Docket No. 10 (notice). No statement was filed by the due date. Subsequently, upon inquiry by the Court, counsel for Plaintiff represented that there is nothing further to pursue in this case such that it may be closed. To ensure that the record is clear, however, the Court issues this order to show cause.

More specifically, the Court orders the parties in the case to show cause why the case should not be dismissed with prejudice and terminated. A response to this order is necessary *only if* a party believes that the action should not be dismissed with prejudice and terminated. If counsel believes there is nothing to pursue, then it need not respond, and the lack of a response shall be deemed an admission that the case should in fact be dismissed with prejudice and closed.


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Any response to the order to show cause must be filed by November 23, 2011.

If the Court receives no response to this order to show cause, then the case shall automatically be dismissed with prejudice and terminated, and the Clerk of the Court shall close the file in this case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 7, 2011



EDWARD M. CHEN
United States District Judge