

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
11

12 IRVIN T. TATUM,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 CHRIS WILBER, et al.,

16 Defendants.
17
18

C 08-2357 WHA (PR)

Amended

**[PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING
THE DISPOSITIVE MOTION
DEADLINE**

19 Defendants R. Horel, D. Depew, M. Foss, M. Whitman, C. Wilber, and T. Hill moved this
20 Court for an extension of time to file a summary-judgment or other dispositive motion, up to and
21 including October 30, 2009. After full consideration, and good cause appearing, Defendants'
22 motion for extension of time is granted.

23 //

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 //

28 //

1 Plaintiff shall file his opposition no later than thirty days from the date Defendants' motion
2 shall
3 is served. If Defendants ~~wish to~~ file a reply brief, ~~they shall do so~~ no later than fifteen days from
4 the date Plaintiff's opposition is served. Absent further order, the motion will be submitted as of
5 the date the reply brief is due.

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7 Dated: November 12, 2009



8
9
10 SF2009404144
11 20219050.doc
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28