Sepatis v. C	ty and County of San Francisco et al					Doc. 32	
	Case 3:08-cv-02497-JCS D	ocument 30	Filed	04/22/2009	Page 1 of 2		
	John Houston Scott (SBN 72578)						
1	Lizabeth N. de Vries (SBN 227215)						
2	Scott Law Firm 1375 Sutter Street, Suite 222						
3	San Francisco, CA 94109 Tel: (415) 561-9600						
4	Fax: (415) 561-9609						
5	john@scottlawfirm.net liza@scottlawfirm.net						
6							
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff, WILLIAM SEPATIS						
8							
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
11	WILLIAM SEPATIS,)8-2497 JCS			
12	Plaintiff,				FOR ORDER		
13	VS.	EN	LARGIN	G TIME FO	R DEFENDANT'S RY JUDGMENT		
14	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN	BR	IEFING S	SCHEDULE			
15	FRANCISCO, SEAN FROST, FREDERICK SCHIFF and DOES 1-2	5 File	ed Date:	May 16, 200	8		
16	inclusive,	Tri	al Date:	not set			
17	Defendants.						
18							
19	Both parties, plaintiff William Sepatis and defendant City and County of San Francisco,						
20	hereby stipulate to modify the briefing schedule for defendants' motion for summary judgment in						
21	exchange for plaintiff William Sepatis' non-opposition to defendant's amending their motion for						
22	summary judgment, Document No.29 on the Court's docket.						
23	There is currently no trial date set in this case.						
24	There have been no other requests for time modification as to defendant's motion for						
25	summary judgment.						
26	Modification in the briefing schedule would enlarge all applicable dates by two weeks. So, the						
27	hearing for defendant's motion for summary judgment is moved from Friday, May 22, 2009 to						
28	STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER ENL	ARGING	1				
	TIME FOR DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BRIEFING SCHEI						
					Dock	ets.Justia.com	

1.20 DM ICC

1	1:30 PM- JCS Friday, June 5, 2009 at 9:30 a.m. Plaintiff's opposi	ition to defendant's motion for summary				
2	judgment is due on or before Friday, May 15, 2009. Defendant's reply, if any, to plaintiff's					
3	opposition to defendant's motion for summary judgment is due on or before May 22, 2009.					
4	Neither party nor the court will be prejudiced by enlarging all applicable dates related to					
5	defendant's motion for summary judgment by two weeks.					
6						
7	Dated: April 17, 2009	Respectfully submitted,				
8						
9		SCOTT LAW FIRM				
10		By: /s/ John Houston Scott				
11		JOHN HOUSTON SCOTT Attorneys for Plaintiff WILLIAM SEPATIS				
12		Autorneys for Frankfill Willeman SEI ATTIS				
13	Dated: April 17, 2009	Respectfully submitted,				
14		By: /s/ Meredith Osborn				
15		MEREDITH OSBORN Attorneys for Defendant City and County of San				
16		Francisco				
17	STATES DISTRIC	<i>i</i>				
18						
19 20	Dated: April 23, 2009	ED (A)				
20	AS MOC A	d I h				
21 22	Z Judge Joseph C. Sp	ero R O E				
22						
23 24	CRA DISTRICT					
25						
26						
20						
28	STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER ENLARGING TIME FOR DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BRIEFING SCHEDULE	2				