26

27

28

1	
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4	
5	Velasquez, No. CV08-02520 JSW
6	Plaintiff.
7	V. AMENDED ORDER SCHEDULING TRIAL AND
8	PRETRIAL MATTERS Croteau,
9	Defendant.
10	/
11	
12	Following the Case Management Conference, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Case
13	Management Statement is adopted, except as expressly modified by this Order. It is further
14	ORDERED that:
15	A. DATES
16	Jury Trial Date: 5/3/2010, at <b>8:00</b> a.m.,
17	Pretrial Conference: Monday, 4/12/2010, at 2:00 p.m.
18	Last Day to Hear Dispositive Motions: Friday, 1/29/2010, 9:00 a.m.
19	Last Day for Expert Discovery: 1/15/2010
20	Last day for Expert Disclosures: 12/15/2009
21	Close of Non-expert Discovery: 11/30/2009
22	
23	B. DISCOVERY
24   25	The parties are reminded that a failure voluntarily to disclose information pursuant to

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a) or to supplement disclosures or discovery responses pursuant to Rule 26(e) may result in exclusionary sanctions. Thirty days prior to the close of non-expert discovery, lead counsel for each party shall serve and file a certification that all supplementation has been completed.

## C. PROCEDURE FOR AMENDING THIS ORDER

No provision of this order may be changed except by written order of this court upon its own motion or upon motion of one or more parties made pursuant to Civil. L. R. 7-1 or 7-1-(b) without a showing of very good cause. If the modification sought is an extension of a deadline contained herein, the motion must be brought <u>before</u> expiration of that deadline. The parties may not modify the pretrial schedule by stipulation. A conflict with a court date set after the date of this order does not constitute good cause. The parties are advised that if they stipulate to a change in the discovery schedule, they do so at their own risk. The only discovery schedule that the Court will enforce is the one set in this order. Additionally, briefing schedules that are specifically set by the court may not be altered by stipulation; rather the parties must obtain leave of Court.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 15, 2009

JEFFREY/S: WHITE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

28

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 2 FOR THE 3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 4 5 RODOLFO VELASQUEZ, Case Number: CV08-02520 JSW 6 Plaintiff, CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 7 v. 8 CAROL A. CROTEAU et al, 9 Defendant. 10 11 I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California. 12 That on July 15, 2009, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing 13 said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office 14 delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office. 15 16 Rodolfo Velasquez 17 58 Lobos Street San Francisco, CA 94112 18 Dated: July 15, 2009 19 hard W. Wieking, Clerk By: Jennifer Ottolini, Deputy Clerk 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27