1 2	HASSARD BONNINGTON LLP MARC N. ZIMMERMAN, ESQ., State Bar No. 100521 R. WESLEY PRATT, ESQ., State Bar No. 191159 JOANNA L. STOREY, ESQ., State Bar No. 214952		
3	Two Embarcadero Center, Suite 1800		
4	San Francisco, California 94111-3941 Telephone: (415) 288-9800		
5	Fax: (415) 288-9801 Email: jls@hassard.com		
6	Attorneys for Defendants JOSEPH R. MATEL, M.D.		
7	and RICHARD FLINDERS, M.D.		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	VALERIE GEORGE, et. al.,	No. C-08-02675 EDL	
12	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	
13	VS.	AS MODIFIED	
14	SONOMA COUNTY SHERIFF'S	Honorable Elizabeth D. Laporte	
15 16	DEPARTMENT, et. al., Defendants.	Third Amended Complaint Filed: February 24, 2009	
10		Jury Trial Date: September 7, 2010	
18	Defendente Jesenh D. Metel	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED	
19 20	Defendants Joseph R. Matel, M.D. and Richard Flinders, M.D. intend to		
20	submit a motion for summary judgment, or alternatively, summary adjudication,		
21	against plaintiffs' Third Amended complaint. Plaintiffs allege nineteen causes of		
22	action against the defendants. Joseph R. Matel, M.D. and Richard Flinders, M.D.		
23	intend to move for motion for summary judgment, or alternatively, summary		
24	adjudication, as to most of the nine causes of	of action alleged against them.	
25	///		
26			
27			
28			
		1-	
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	1-	

1	In order to properly support the motion for motion for summary		
2	judgment, or alternatively, summary adjudication, on each cause of action against		
3	Joseph R. Matel, M.D. and Richard Flinders, M.D., defendants believe significantly		
4	more space is needed than the allowed 25 pages allowed pursuant to Local Rules 7-		
5	2(b) and 7-4(b).		
6	In light of the Court March 5, 2010 Order granting the ex parte		
7	application by Defendants Sutter Health and Sutter Medical Center of Santa Rosa to		
8	file a memorandum of points and authorities in support of their motion for summary		
9	judgment not exceeding 55 pages in length, plaintiffs do not oppose Joseph R. Matel,		
10	M.D. and Richard Flinders, M.D.'s request for a 55 page limit.		
11	THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and		
12	between the undersigned counsel, pursuant to Local Rule 7-12, that, subject to the		
13	Court's approval, defendants Joseph R. Matel, M.D. and Richard Flinders, M.D. be		
14	permitted to file a memorandum of points and authorities in support of their motion for		
15	summary adjudication not to exceed 55 pages in length.		
16	Dated: March 16, 2010 HASSARD BONNINGTON LLP		
17			
18	/ S /		
19	Joanna L. Storey		
20	Attorneys for Defendants		
21	JOSEPH R. MATEL, M.D. and RICHARD FLINDERS, M.D.		
22			
23	Dated:March 16, 2010SANFORD WITTELS & HEISLER LLP		
24			
25	/ S /		
26	Steven Wittels		
27	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
28	VALERIE GEORGE, DONALD GEORGE, JAIDA GEORGE, and		
	RYAN GÉORGE, JR.		
	-2- STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER		
	P:\Wdocs\HBMAIN\00045\00213\00462528.DOC-31610		

1	*****		
2	*****		
3	GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED		
4	that defendants Joseph R. Matel, M.D. and Richard Flinders, M.D. be allowed to file a		
5	memorandum of points and authorities in support of their motion for summary $\frac{50}{50}$		
6	judgment, or alternatively, summary adjudication not exceeding 55-pages in length.		
7	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
8	TES DISTRICT		
9	Dated: _March 19, 2010_ Dated: _March 19, 201		
10			
11	IT IS SO ORDERED		
12			
13	Z Judge Elizabeth D. Laporte		
14			
15	DISTRICT OF CR		
16 17			
17			
10			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	-3-		
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER P:\Wdocs\HBMAIN\00045\00213\00462528.DOC-31610		