

1 Gregory G. Spaulding, Esq. (SB# 106606)  
2 Terry S. Sterling, Esq. (SB# 106379)  
3 SPAULDING McCULLOUGH & TANSIL LLP  
4 90 South E Street, Suite 200  
5 P.O. Box 1867  
6 Santa Rosa, CA 95402  
7 Telephone: (707) 524-1900 / Facsimile: (707) 524-1906  
8 spaulding@smlaw.com; sterling@smlaw.com

9 Attorneys for Defendants  
10 SONOMA COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT,  
11 BILL COGBILL and COUNTY OF SONOMA

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

14 VALERIE GEORGE, as Administrator and  
15 Personal Representative of THE ESTATE OF  
16 RYAN GEORGE; VALERIE GEORGE and/or  
17 TAJMAH BEAUCHAMP, as Legal  
18 Representative(s) for Jaida George and Ryan  
19 George, Jr.; VALERIE GEORGE, Individually;  
20 DONALD GEORGE; and TAJMAH  
21 BEAUCHAMP, Individually,

22 Plaintiffs,

23 vs.

24 SONOMA COUNTY SHERIFF'S  
25 DEPARTMENT; BILL COGBILL; COUNTY  
26 OF SONOMA; CALIFORNIA FORENSIC  
27 MEDICAL GROUP, INC.; JAMES LUDERS,  
28 M.D.; MICHAEL E. DAGEY, R.N.; SUTTER  
HEALTH; SUTTER MEDICAL CENTER OF  
SANTA ROSA; EDWARD W. HARD, M.D.;  
RICHARD FLINDERS, M.D.; JOSEPH N.  
MATEL, M.D.; NORICK JANIAN, M.D.;  
ANGUS MATHESON, M.D., and DOES 1  
through 25, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 3:08-cv-02675-EDL

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER FOLLOWING  
REVIEW OF INDEPENDENT THIRD  
PARTY EXPERT REPORT AND OF  
RESPONSE OF DEFENDANTS SONOMA  
COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT,  
BILL COGBILL AND COUNTY OF  
SONOMA

25 In accordance with the settlement reached on November 10, 2010 among plaintiffs;  
26 defendants COUNTY OF SONOMA (including the SONOMA COUNTY SHERIFF'S  
27 DEPARTMENT) and BILL COGBILL (collectively, "the County defendants"); and defendants

1 CALIFORNIA FORENSIC MEDICAL GROUP, INC., JAMES LUDERS, M.D. and MICHAEL E.  
2 DAGEY, R.N., the County defendants have submitted their Request for Review of Independent Third  
3 Party Expert Report and of Response of Defendants Sonoma County Sheriff's Department, Bill  
4 Cogbill and County of Sonoma. The Court has now completed its review of the expert's report,  
5 entitled "Sonoma County Sheriff's Office Main Adult Detention Facilities (MADF) 2011 Independent  
6 Review" ("Report"), and the County defendants' response to the Report ("County Response"). Good  
7 cause appearing therefore,


8 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

9 1. The County defendants have fulfilled their obligations under the settlement agreement  
10 regarding the independent third party expert review of the medical and correctional practices of the  
11 Sonoma County Main Adult Detention Facility;

12 2. The County acted reasonably in connection with the preparation of the Report and the  
13 County Response; and

14 3. The Report and the County Response shall be held as confidential, neither document  
15 shall be made part of the Court record, and the originals and all copies of the Report and the County  
16 Response will be returned to the County's attorneys.

17  
18 DATED: 10/26, 2011

19   
20 \_\_\_\_\_  
21 Chief Magistrate Judge Maria-Elena James  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28