

1
2
3
4
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7

8 SANDRA VERMA,

No. C 08-2702 SI

9 Plaintiff,

ORDER RE: ORAL ARGUMENT

10 v.

11 AMERICAN EXPRESS and DOES 1-25,

12 Defendants.
13 _____/


14 The Court directs the parties to be prepared to address the following questions at oral argument
15 on August 7, 2009:

16 1. Are *Richards v. CH2M Hill, Inc.*, 26 Cal. 4th 798, 816 (2001) and *Yanowitz v. L'Oreal USA,*
17 *Inc.*, 36 Cal. 4th 1028, 1057 (2005) applicable to the statute of limitations issue in this case?

18 2. If so, are there disputed issues of fact as to whether defendant's purportedly unlawful actions
19 are (1) sufficiently similar in kind, (2) have occurred with reasonable frequency, and (3) have not
20 acquired a degree of permanence?
21

22 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

23
24 Dated: August 6, 2009



SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Judge