

1
2
3
4
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7

8 HILTON I. WESLEY,
9 Plaintiff,

No. C 08-2719 SI

**ORDER RE: PROTECTIVE ORDER AND
DISCOVERY DISPUTES**

10 v.

11 ROBERT M. GATES,
12 Defendant.
13 _____/

14
15 By letter briefs (Docket Nos. 21, 24, 31), the parties have submitted to the Court several disputes
16 regarding the terms of protective order as well as a schedule for production of documents and
17 depositions.

18 At the January 16, 2009 case management conference, the Court instructed the parties to agree
19 on a protective order within ten days of the conference, and informed the parties that if they could not
20 agree, the Court would enter the standard protective order provided as a model for litigants in the
21 Northern District. The parties have not been able to agree on a stipulated order, and thus the Court will
22 enter the standard order. Defendant shall respond to plaintiff's request for production of documents
23 within 7 days of the filing of this order. If there are disputes regarding the sufficiency of defendant's
24 document production, the parties are ordered to meet and confer prior to the filing of a motion to
25 compel.


26 With the disputes over the protective order and timing over production of documents resolved,
27 the parties should be able to schedule the remaining depositions without further Court intervention. The
28 parties are directed to meet and confer **no later than February 11, 2009** to schedule the depositions.

1 If Mr. Paul's deposition cannot be scheduled until after the discovery cut-off, the parties shall ensure
2 that his deposition takes place prior to the date for filing motions for summary judgment.

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 5, 2009



SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Judge