Case3:08-cv-02741-MEJ Document35 Filed07/01/09 Page1 of 2

1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.		
2	Attorney General of the State of California JAMES HUMES Chief Departs Attorney Consul		
3	Chief Deputy Attorney General J. MATTHEW RODRIQUEZ Chief Assistant Attorney General		
4	Chief Assistant Attorney General KEN ALEX		
5	Senior Assistant Attorney General EDWARD G. WEIL		
6	Supervising Deputy Attorney General LAURA J. ZUCKERMAN		
7	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 161896		
8	1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor Oakland, CA 94612		
9	Telephone: (510) 622-2174 Fax: (510) 622-2270		
10	laura.zuckerman@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for People of the State of California		
11	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CSBN 44332) United States Attorney		
12	JOANN M. SWANSON (SBN 88143) Chief, Civil Division		
13	ABRAHAM A. SIMMONS (SBN 146400) Assistant United States Attorney		
14	450 Golden Gate Avenue, 9th Floor		
15	San Francisco, California 94102-3495 Telephone: (415) 436-7264		
16	Facsimile: (415) 436-6748 Email: abraham.simmons@usdoj.gov		
17	Attorneys for Federal Defendants		
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
20	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
21	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF (CALIFORNIA, ex rel. EDMUND G.) Case No. C 08-02741 MEJ		
22	BROWN JR., ÁTTORNEY GENERAL OF Ó THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,		
23	Plaintiff, STIPULATION AND		
24) [PROPOSED] ORDER v.		
25	UNITED STATES FOOD & DRUG)		
26	ADMINISTRATION,) Before: Hon. Maria-Elena James		
27	Defendant.)		
28	Whereas on March 19, 2009, defendant received additional guidance from the United		
	States Attorney General regarding further handling of Freedom of Information Act matters		

1 2

3 4

5 6

8

9

7

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

2425

26

2728

pending before federal agencies; and

Whereas defendant considered the application of the March 19, 2009 guidance to the above-captioned matter; and

Whereas defendant concluded that an additional disclosure was appropriate pursuant to the guidance and such disclosure was made June 30, 2009, and

Whereas the due date for plaintiff to file a motion for summary judgment is July 2, 2009; and

Whereas, plaintiff now must review defendant's June 30, 2009 disclosure and must decide whether to file a motion for summary judgment;

The parties therefore agree, stipulate and respectfully request that the Court issue an order making the following changes to the current scheduling order:

Thursday, July 23, 2009	Plaintiff to file Motion for Summary Judgment, if any.
Thursday, August 6, 2009	Defendant to file opposition and cross-motion, if any.
Thursday, August 20, 2009	Plaintiff to file reply and opposition to cross-motion, if
	any.
Thursday, September 3, 2009	Defendant to file reply, if any.
Thursday, September 24, 2009	Hearing on cross-motions.

Respectfully submitted,

EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of the State of California JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO United States Attorney

/s/ LAURA J. ZUCKERMAN Deputy Attorney General Dated: March 18, 2009

ABRAHAM A. SIMMONS
Assistant United States Attorney
Dated: March 18, 2009

- |PROPOSED| ORDER

Good cause appearing, it is so **ORDERED**.

July 2, 2009

Date

J. dg May Elena James