

1 LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
 Daniel M. Wall (Bar No. 102580)
 2 Timothy L. O'Mara (Bar No. 212731)
 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000
 3 San Francisco, California 94111-6538
 Telephone: (415) 391-0600
 4 Facsimile: (415) 395-8095
 Email: Dan.Wall@lw.com
 5 Email: Tim.OMara@lw.com

6 Attorneys for Defendant
 7 ELECTRONIC ARTS INC.

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

12 GEOFFREY PECOVER and JEFFREY
 LAWRENCE, on Behalf of Themselves and
 13 All Others Similarly Situated,

14 Plaintiffs,

15 v.

17 ELECTRONIC ARTS INC., a Delaware
 Corporation

18 Defendant.
 19
 20

CASE NO. C 08-02820 VRW

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER
 TO EXTEND PAGE LIMITATIONS RE:
 BRIEFING ON PLAINTIFFS' MOTION
 FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION**

1 The parties hereto, through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate to
2 the entry of the following Order regarding page limits applicable under the Local Rules in
3 connection with plaintiffs' motion for class certification briefing:

4 WHEREAS plaintiffs filed their motion for class certification on November 9,
5 2009;

6 WHEREAS, pursuant to the Court's January 21, 2010 order, defendant's
7 opposition to plaintiffs' motion for class certification is due on February 19, 2009, plaintiffs'
8 reply in support of their motion for class certification is due on April 2, 2010, and oral argument
9 is set for May 6, 2010 at 10:00 am;

10 WHEREAS on October 28, 2009 the parties stipulated to, and the Court
11 subsequently granted on November 6, 2009, an extension of the page limits allowed by the Local
12 Rules of this Court regarding plaintiffs' motion for class certification, granting plaintiffs 40
13 pages for their memorandum in support of their motion for class certification; defendant 40
14 pages for its memorandum in opposition to plaintiffs' motion for class certification; and
15 plaintiffs 25 pages for their reply in support of their motion for class certification;

16 WHEREAS, given the nature and complexity of the class certification briefing,
17 and plaintiffs' request for a nationwide class under federal and California state law, or in the
18 alternative 20 state subclasses under the state antitrust statutes of the 20 different states, the
19 defendant seeks an extension in page limits in order to fully address the class proposals;

20 WHEREAS plaintiffs do not oppose this request;

21 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the
22 undersigned counsel for the parties as follows:

- 23 1. Defendant's opposition to plaintiffs' motion for class certification shall not
24 exceed 50 pages in length; and
- 25 2. Plaintiffs' reply memorandum in support of their motion for class certification

26 \ \ \

27

28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

shall not exceed 30 pages in length.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: February 17, 2010

Respectfully Submitted,

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
Daniel M. Wall
Timothy L. O'Mara

By _____ /s/ Timothy L. O'Mara
Timothy L. O'Mara
Attorneys for Defendant
ELECTRONIC ARTS INC.

Dated: February 17, 2010

Respectfully Submitted,

HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
Shana Scarlett


THE PAYNTER LAW FIRM PLLC
Stuart M. Paynter

By _____ /s/ Shana Scarlett
Shana Scarlett
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
G. PECOVER and J. LAWRENCE.

ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 3/5/2010

THE HONORABLE  WALKER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CHIEF JUDGE

