Edwards v. Sisto Doc. 35

Case: 09-73202 12/11/2009 Page: 1 of 2 DktEntry: 7161113

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

DEC 11 2009

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

In re: JAMES EUGENE EDWARDS; et

al.

JAMES EUGENE EDWARDS; et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA,

Respondent,

D.K. SISTO,

Real Party in Interest.

No. 09-73202

D.C. Nos. 3:08-cv-02841-WHA 3:08-cv-02842-WHA Northern District of California, San Francisco

ORDER

Before: GOODWIN, RYMER and CLIFTON, Circuit Judges.

Petitioners have not demonstrated that this case warrants the intervention of this court by means of the extraordinary remedy of mandamus. *See Bauman v. United States Dist. Court*, 557 F.2d 650 (9th Cir. 1977). Accordingly, the petition is denied.

The motion to proceed in forma pauperis is denied as moot.

rb/MOATT

Case: 09-73202 12/11/2009 Page: 2 of 2 DktEntry: 7161113

No motions for reconsideration, rehearing, clarification, or any other submissions shall be filed or entertained in this closed docket.