| 1        |  |   |
|----------|--|---|
| 2        |  |   |
| 3        |  |   |
| 4<br>5   |  |   |
| 6        | IN THE UNITED STA  | TES DISTRICT COURT                            |
| 7        |  |   |
| 8        | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  |   |
| 9        |  |   |
| 10       | DOUGLAS ALARID,  | No. C 08-02845 WHA                            |
| 11       | Plaintiff,   |   |
| 12       | V.   | ORDER DENYING                                 |
| 13<br>14 | GOLDEN GATE BRIDGE HIGHWAY<br>AND TRANSPORTATION DISTRICT, et                                    | STIPULATION TO<br>EXTEND TIME                 |
| 15<br>16 | <i>al.</i> ,<br>Defendants.  |   |
| 17       | The Court is in receipt of the parties' sti  | pulation and proposed order to extend time to |
| 18       | respond to discovery requests, to take depositions, and to designate experts. The proposal       |   |
| 19       | would put too much pressure on the trial date. If the proposal were granted, the parties would   |   |
| 20       | inevitably seek an extension of the trial date. The Court is unwilling to move the trial date    |   |
| 21       | having planned around it. Furthermore, the reasons set forth as good cause are vague.            |   |
| 22       | Plaintiff's counsel has not explained his father's medical condition. Neither has he explained   |   |
| 23       | why other members of his firm could not fill in. The proposal is <b>DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE</b> |   |
| 24       | to submission of a new proposal that would allow for summary judgment briefing and exchange      |   |
| 25<br>26 | of expert reports while retaining the current trial date.  |   |
| 26<br>27 |  |   |
| 27       | IT IS SO ORDERED.  | Win Alma                                      |
| 20       | Dated: July 1, 2009.   | WILLIAM ALSUD                                 |

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dockets.Justia.com