

1 HANSON BRIDGETT LLP
 2 DIANE MARIE O'MALLEY - 139166
 3 domalley@hansonbridgett.com
 4 JAHMAL T. DAVIS - 191504
 5 jdavis@hansonbridgett.com
 425 Market Street, 26th Floor
 San Francisco, CA 94105
 Telephone: (415) 777-3200
 Facsimile: (415) 541-9366

6 Attorneys for Defendant
 7 GOLDEN GATE BRIDGE, HIGHWAY AND
 8 TRANSPORTATION DISTRICT

9 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 10 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
 11 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

12 DOUGLAS ALARID,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 GOLDEN GATE BRIDGE HIGHWAY
 16 AND TRANSPORTATION DISTRICT;
 17 MICHAEL LOCATI; DAVID RIVERA;
 KAY WITT, and DOES 1 through 50,
 inclusive,

18 Defendants.

No. CV-08-2845-WHA

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
 ORDER EXTENDING THE PAGE LIMIT
 FOR DEFENDANT'S MEMORANDUM OF
 POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN
 SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY
 JUDGMENT, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE,
 FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT,
 AND EXTENDING THE PAGE LIMIT ON
 PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION THEREOF**

Date: October 1, 2009
Time: 8:00 a.m.
Judge: Hon. William H. Alsup
Courtroom: 9, 19th Floor

Action Filed: March 28, 2008
Action Removed: June 6, 2008
Trial Date: November 30, 2009

23 Defendant GOLDEN GATE BRIDGE, HIGHWAY AND TRANSPORTATION
 24 DISTRICT ("the District") and Plaintiff DOUGLAS ALARID ("Plaintiff") (collectively "the
 25 parties") hereby stipulate and agree that the District may file a Memorandum of Points and
 26 Authorities in Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment, or in the Alternative, for Partial
 27 Summary Judgment in excess of the twenty-five (25) page limitation set forth in Civil Local Rule
 28 7-4(b), but not to exceed thirty (30) pages in length. Counsel also stipulates and agrees that

1 Plaintiff may file a Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant’s Motion
2 for Summary Judgment, or in the Alternative, for Partial Summary Judgment, in excess of the
3 twenty-five (25) page limitation, but not to exceed thirty (30) pages in length.

4 This Stipulation is made pursuant to Rule 7-11 of the United States District Court for the
5 Northern District of California.

6 There is good cause for the Stipulation to extend the page limit given that Plaintiff’s
7 Complaint contains twelve causes of action. Defendant is moving for summary judgment on all
8 twelve causes of action. Thus, the moving and opposition papers need more than 25 pages to
9 address each cause. The parties respectfully are seeking a five page extension.

10 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

11 Dated: August 21, 2009

KAHN BROWN & POORE, LLP

12
13 By: _____ /s/
14 DAVID M. POORE
15 Attorneys for Plaintiff
DOUGLAS ALARID

16 Dated: August 21, 2009

HANSON BRIDGETT LLP

17
18 By: _____ /s/
19 DIANE MARIE O’MALLEY
20 JAHMAL T. DAVIS
21 Attorneys for Defendant
GOLDEN GATE BRIDGE, HIGHWAY
AND TRANSPORTATION DISTRICT

22 **SIGNATORY ATTESTATION**

23 I hereby attest that I have on file all holograph signatures for any signatures indicated by a
24 “conformed” signature (/S/) within this efiled document.

25 /////
26 /////
27 /////
28 /////
29

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PROPOSED ORDER

THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS AS FOLLOWS:

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, and based on the Stipulation of the parties hereto, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the District may file a Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment, or in the Alternative, for Partial Summary Judgment in excess of the twenty-five (25) page limitation set forth in Civil Local Rule 7-4(b), not to exceed thirty (30) pages in length and that Plaintiff may file a Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant’s Motion for Summary Judgment, or in the Alternative, for Partial Summary Judgment, in excess of the twenty-five (25) page limitation, not to exceed thirty (30) pages in length.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: August 25, 2009.

