

5

7 8

6

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-2, Plaintiff Nuance Communications, Inc. ("Nuance"), and Defendants ABBYY Software House, Inc., ABBYY Software, Ltd., ABBY Production, LLC, and Lexmark International, Inc. (collectively, "Defendants") file this joint stipulation regarding the schedule for certain pretrial exchanges, filings, and evidentiary motions.

WHEREAS, the Court's April 2, 2013 Order Setting Schedule ("Scheduling Order") (Dkt. No. 649) set the Pretrial Conference for July 22, 2013, at 2:00 p.m., Jury Selection for August 7, 2013, at 8:00 a.m., and Trial for August 12, 2013, at 8:00 a.m.

WHEREAS, the Court's Guidelines for Trial and Final Pretrial Conference in Civil Jury Cases, paragraphs 1-3 ("Guidelines"), set forth deadlines and requirements for certain pretrial filings and evidentiary motions;

WHEREAS, to aid efficient and cooperative trial preparation, the parties wish to propose additional and adjusted dates consistent with the Court's Scheduling Order and Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, the adjusted dates do not extend any deadline beyond those dates currently set by the Court.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED and agreed to by and between the parties, through their respective counsel, that the following pretrial schedule shall be adopted:

| Task | Due Date |
|---|-----------------|
| Last day for Nuance to serve (but not file) draft proposals for the joint filings set forth in the Court's Guidelines, including: | June 4, 2013 |
| (1) proposed Joint Final Pretrial Order (only items required by paragraph 1(i)-(iv)); | |
| (2) proposed jury instructions on substantive issues of law (paragraph 2(a)); | |
| (3) proposed statement of the case (paragraph 2(c)); | |
| (4) proposed <i>voir dire</i> questions (paragraph 2(d)); and | |
| (5) proposed special verdict forms (paragraph 2(j)). | |

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE PRETRIAL CASE SCHEDULE 3:08-cv-02912 JSW (MEJ) sf-3274000

| Task | Task | |
|---|--|----------------|
| Last da | ay to serve (but not file): | June 11, 2013 |
| 1) | a list of exhibits to be used at trial other than solely for impeachment or rebuttal; | |
| 2) | all summaries, charts, and diagrams to be used as evidence at trial other than solely for impeachment or rebuttal; | |
| 3) | lists of witnesses to be called at trial other than solely for impeachment or rebuttal; | |
| 4) | excerpts of deposition testimony and other discovery to be offered at trial other than solely for impeachment or rebuttal; | |
| 5) | excerpts of responses to interrogatories and requests for admissions to be offered at trial other than solely for impeachment or rebuttal; and | |
| 6) | proposed certified translations. | |
| shall m | nce or testimony "other than solely for impeachment or rebuttal" nean evidence or testimony that a party reasonably anticipates | |
| anticip | acing at trial, including any evidence a party reasonably ates relying on in opposing claims of infringement or invalidity, | |
| | s evidence or testimony relating to non-infringement or ary indicia of non-obviousness. | |
| | naries, charts, and diagrams to be used as evidence at trial" shall lude demonstratives that will not be introduced into evidence. | |
| | ay to file administrative motion to increase number of motions me under paragraph 2(i) of the Court's Guidelines. | June 18, 2013 |
| | 1 0 1 W | June 18, 2013 |
| Last day for Defendants to serve (but not file) written responses and additions to the draft proposals for the joint filings set forth in the | | Julie 16, 2013 |
| | s Guidelines (served by Nuance on June 4, 2013), including: | |
| (1) | proposed Joint Final Pretrial Order (only items required by paragraph 1(i)-(iv)); | |
| (2) | proposed jury instructions on substantive issues of law (paragraph 2(a)); | |
| (3) | proposed statement of the case (paragraph 2(c)); | |
| (4) | proposed voir dire questions (paragraph 2(d)); and | |
| \ / | | 1 |

27

28

| Task | | Due Date | |
|--------|---|-----------------|--|
| Last o | lay to serve (but not file): | June 25, 2013 | |
| 1) | motions in limine; | | |
| 2) | objections to exhibits, deposition designations, and designations of other discovery; | | |
| 3) | counter-designations of deposition testimony; and | | |
| 4) | objections to proposed certified translations and alternative proposed translations. | | |
| In-pe | rson meet and confer. | June 30, 2013 | |
| | lay to serve (but not file) objections to counter-designations of sition testimony. | July 1, 2013 | |
| Last c | day to serve (but not file) oppositions to motions in limine. | July 2, 2013 | |
| | lay to serve (but not file) memoranda of law addressing disputed nstructions. | July 2, 2013 | |
| | lay to meet requirements under paragraphs 1-3 of the Court's elines, which includes filing: | July 3, 2013 | |
| | 1) proposed Joint Final Pretrial Order (paragraph 1); | | |
| | proposed jury instructions on substantive issues of law (paragraph 2(a)); | | |
| | 3) statement of the case (paragraph 2(c)); | | |
| | 4) proposed <i>voir dire</i> questions (paragraph 2(d)); | | |
| | 5) trial brief on controlling issues of law (paragraph 2(e)); | | |
| | 6) excerpts of deposition designations that are to be used in a party's case-in-chief as to which there are objections, including the basis for the objection and | | |
| | response thereto (paragraph 2(f)); | | |
| | 7) excerpts of responses to interrogatories and requests for admissions that are to be used in a party's case-in-chief | | |
| | as to which there are objections, including the basis for the objection and response thereto (paragraph 2(g)); | | |
| | 8) list of objections to each exhibit in tabular form (paragraph 2(h)); | | |
| | 9) proposed special verdict forms (paragraph 2(j)); and | | |
| | 10) all in limine briefing. | | |
| | | I . | |

28

Case3:08-cv-02912-JSW Document666 Filed05/09/13 Page5 of 7

| Task | | Due Date |
|--|--|-----------------|
| File joint report regarding unresolved translation issues, including competing translation and supporting reasons. | | July 3, 2013 |
| IT IS SO STIPULATED | • | |
| Dated: May 9, 2013 | MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP | |
| | By: /s/ Brooks M. Bea BROOKS M. BEA Attorneys for Plain NUANCE COMM INC. | RD tiff |
| Dated: May 9, 2013 | FINNEGAN, HENDERS GARRETT & DUNNER, | |
| | INC., ABBYY SO ABBYY PRODUC | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE PRETRIAL CASE SCHEDULE 3:08-cv-02912 JSW (MEJ) sf-3274000

Case3:08-cv-02912-JSW Document666 Filed05/09/13 Page6 of 7

ORDER Based on the foregoing stipulation, it is so ORDERED. Dated: May 10, 2013 United States District Judge STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE PRETRIAL CASE SCHEDULE

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE PRETRIAL CASE SCHEDULE 3:08-cv-02912 JSW (MEJ) sf-3274000