1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
8						
9	NUANCE COMMUNICATIONS INC., No. C 08-02912 JSW					
10	Plaintiff, COURT'S FINAL VERDICT FORM					
11	V.					
12	ABBYY SOFTWARE HOUSE, INC. ET AL.,					
13	Defendants/					
14						
15 16	When answering the following questions and filling out this Verdict Form, please follow all of the instructions I have given you and the directions provided throughout the form. Your					
17	answer to each question must be a unanimous decision reached by all members of the jury. Some of the questions contain legal terms that are defined and explained in detail in the Jury Instructions. Please refer to the Jury Instructions if you are unsure about the meaning or usage					
18	of any legal term that appears in the questions below.					
19	We the jury, unanimously agree to the answers to the following questions and return					
20	NUANCE'S PATENT INFRINGEMENT CLAIMS					
21	<u>U.S. Patent No. 6,038,342</u>					
22	Nuance has asserted infringement of claims 4, 6, 13, 14, and 18 of the '342 patent.					
23	1. Did Nuance prove by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the Defendants directly infringed claims 4, 6, 13, 14, and 18 of the '342 patent?					
24	Answer "Yes" or "No"					
25	If you answered "Yes," then separately for each Defendant, indicate which claims were					
26	directly infringed by which Defendant.					
27	If you answered "No," then proceed to Question 2.					
28						

28

1		Claim 4	Claim 6	Claim 13	Claim 14	Claim 18	
2	ABBYY Software						
3	ABBYY						
4	Production						
5	ABBYY USA						
6					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
7	Lexmark						
8	2. Did N	Iuonaa nrava l	hv a nranandar	anaa of tha ayid	langa that any c	of the Defendants	
9				6, 13, 14, and 1		of the Defendants atent?	
10	Answ	er "Yes" or "	No"				
11			es," then separent of which cla		Defendant, indic	cate which Defenda	nt
12		_					
13	If you	answered "N	lo," then proced	ed to Question 3	3.		
14		Claim 4	Claim 6	Claim 13	Claim 14	Claim 18	
15	ABBYY Software						
16							
17	ABBYY Production						
18	ABBYY USA						
19							
20	Lexmark						
21	0 5:13	.	, ,	0.1			
22	3. Did Nuance prove by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the Defendants contributed to infringement of claims 4, 6, 13, 14, and 18 of the '342 patent?						
23	Answer "Yes" or "No"						
24	If you answered "Yes," then separately for each Defendant, indicate which Defendant contributed to the infringement of which claims.						nt
25			C	ed to Question 4	1 .		
26	-		_				
27							

1 2	ABBYY Software	Claim 4	Claim 6	Claim 13	Claim 14	Claim 18
3	ABBYY Production					
5	ABBYY USA					
6						
7	Lexmark					
8	4. If you convi	answered "Yncing evidend	es" to any part te that Defenda	t of Questions 1 ants' infringeme	-3, did Nuance nt of the '342 p	prove by clear and patent was willful?
9	Answ	er "Yes" or "	No" for each D	efendant (ABB	YY Software	ABBYY Production,
10		YY USA, and		(122	1 1 201011 0120, 1	
11	A DDXXX					
12	ABBYY Software					
13	ABBYY					
14	Production					
15	ABBYY USA					
16						
17	Lexmark					
18	U.S. Patent I	No. 5,381,489	<u>)</u>			
19	Nuance has a	sserted infrin	gement of clair	m 7 of the '489	patent.	
20	5. Did N	luance prove	by a preponder laim 7 of the '2	rance of the evid	lence that any o	of the Defendants
21				1		
22			No"			
23	If you direct	answered "Y ly infringed c	es," then separ laim 7 of the '	rately for each I 489 patent.	Defendant, indi	cate which Defendan
24	If you	answered "N	No," then proce	ed to Question 6	б.	
25		Claim 7				
26	ABBYY					
27	Software					
28	ABBYY Production					

1	ABBYY USA	
2		
3	Lexmark	
4	6. Did N	uance prove by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the Defendants ed infringement of claim 7 of the '489 patent?
5		
6		er "Yes" or "No"
7	If you induce	answered "Yes," then separately for each Defendant, indicate which Defendant ed infringement of claim 7 of the '489 patent.
8	If you	answered "No," then proceed to Question 7.
9		Claim 7
10	ABBYY	
11	Software	
12	ABBYY Production	
13	ABBYY	
14	USA	
15	Lexmark	
16		uance prove by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the Defendants buted to infringement of claim 7 of the '489 patent?
17		er "Yes" or "No"
18		answered "Yes," then separately for each Defendant, indicate which Defendant
19		buted to the infringement of claim 7 of the '489 patent.
20	If you	answered "No," then proceed to Question 8.
21		Claim 7
22	ABBYY	
23	Software	
24	ABBYY Production	
25	ABBYY	
26	USA	
27	Lexmark	
20		

1	8. If you answered "Yes" to Question 5, 6 or 7, did Nuance prove by clear and convincing evidence that any of Defendants' infringement of the '489 patent was willful?
2	Answer "Yes" or "No" for each Defendant (ABBYY Software, ABBYY Production, ABBYY USA, and Lexmark).
	ADD 1 1 Cort, and Econdary.
5	ABBYY Software
6	ABBYY Production
7 8	ABBYY USA
9	
10	Lexmark
11	<u>U.S. Patent No. 6,742,161</u>
12	Nuance has asserted infringement of claim 62 of the '161 patent against the ABBYY Defendants.
13	9. Did Nuance prove by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the ABBYY Defendants directly infringed claim 62 of the '161 patent?
14	Answer "Yes" or "No"
15	
16	If you answered "Yes," then separately for each of the ABBYY Defendants, indicate which Defendant directly infringed claim 62 of the '161 patent.
17	If you answered "No," then proceed to Question 10.
18	Claim 62
19	ABBYY Software
20	ABBYY
21	Production
22	ABBYY
23	USA
24	10. Did Nuance prove by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the ABBYY
25	Defendants induced infringement of claim 62 of the '161 patent?
26	Answer "Yes" or "No"
27	If you answered "Yes," then separately for each of the ABBYY Defendants, indicate which Defendant induced infringement of claim 62 of the '161 patent.
28	If you answered "No," then proceed to Question 11.

1	C	laim 62
2	ABBYY Software	
3	ABBYY	
4	Production	
5	ABBYY	
6	USA	
7	11. Did Nuan	nce prove by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the ABBYY ats contributed to infringement of claim 62 of the '161 patent?
8		
9	Answer	'Yes" or "No"
10	If you and which De	swered "Yes," then separately for each of the ABBYY Defendants, indicate efendant contributed to the infringement of claim 62 of the '161 patent.
11	If you ans	swered "No," then proceed to Question 12.
12	C	laim 62
13	ABBYY Software	
14		
15	ABBYY Production	
16	ABBYY USA	
17		
18	12. If you ans	swered "Yes" to Questions 9, 10 or 11, did Nuance prove by clear and ng evidence that any of the ABBYY Defendants' infringement of the '161
19		as willful?
20		Yes" or "No" for each ABBYY Defendant (ABBYY Software, ABBYY on, ABBYY USA).
21	Troductio	m, rabb i i corij.
22	ABBYY Software	
23		
24	ABBYY Production	
25	ABBYY USA	
26	USA	
27		
28		

Dated: _____

1		DAMAGES FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
2	13.	If you found that neither the ABBYY entities nor Lexmark infringed any claim of the '342 and '489 patents, do not answer this Question and proceed to Question 14.
4		If you found that any of the ABBYY entities or Lexmark infringed at least one claim of either the '342 or '489 patent, what amount of damages, if any, did Nuance prove by a preponderance of the evidence for infringement of the '342 and/or '489 patents?
56789	14.	The ABBYY entities \$ Lexmark \$ If you found that the ABBYY entities did not infringe claim 62 of the '161 patent, do not answer this Question and proceed to Question 15. If you found that any of the ABBYY entities infringed claim 62 of the '161 patent, what
10		amount of damages, if any, did Nuance prove by a preponderance of the evidence for infringement of the '161 patent?
11		The ABBYY entities \$
12		NUANCE'S TRADE DRESS CLAIMS AGAINST ABBYY
13 14	15.	Did Nuance prove by a preponderance of the evidence that its asserted packaging trade dress is protectable?
15		Answer "Yes" or "No"
16		If you answered "No," then skip the rest of the Questions.
17	16.	Did Nuance prove by a preponderance of the evidence that ABBYY infringed Nuance's trade dress?
18		Answer "Yes" or "No"
19		If you answered "No," then skip the rest of the Questions.
20		DAMAGES FOR TRADE DRESS INFRINGEMENT
21	17.	What amount of damages, if any, has Nuance proven by a preponderance of the evidence for infringement of its trade dress?
22		
23		Amount \$
24	Please	e date and sign the Verdict Form and return it to the Court.

Foreperson