Lockhart v. Hedgpeth Doc. 23

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Petitioner,

vs.

TONY HEDGPETH, Warden,

Respondent.

No. C 08-2935 JSW (PR)

ORDER GRANTING STAY OF
PETITION

(Docket No. 22)

Petitioner, a prisoner of the State of California, has filed a habeas corpus petition pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Respondent has filed an answer to the petition and petitioner filed a traverse. Petitioner then filed a "notice of abandonment" of the petition. In this document, petitioner states that he has discovered a new claim that he wishes to exhaust in state courts before adding it to the claims to be considered by this Court. Petitioner requests that the petition be dismissed "without prejudice" to his returning to federal court once the new claim has been exhausted. While petitioner may voluntarily dismiss a petition at any time under Rule 41 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, if this action is dismissed, a future petition filed by him may be barred by the one-year statute of limitations applicable to federal habeas petitions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2244. The United States Supreme Court has held that district courts have authority to stay petitions to allow

27

28

exhaustion of unexhausted claims. *Rhines v. Webber*, 125 S.Ct. 1528, 1535 (2005). The Court construes petitioner's request as a request for a stay of his petition while he exhausts his newly-discovered claim in the state courts.

A stay can only be granted upon a showing of good cause for petitioner's failure to exhaust the issues before filing the federal petition, and a showing that the issues which the petitioner proposes to exhaust are "potentially meritorious." *Id.* As to good cause, petitioner contends that the claim did not arise until the respondent submitted the answer to the instant petition. The Supreme Court has recognized that this situation with regard to exhaustion constitutes good cause for a stay. See Pace v. DiGuglielmo, 544 U.S. 408, 416 (2005) (prisoners who may run the risk of having the federal statute of limitations expire while they are exhausting their state remedies may avoid this predicament "by filing a 'protective' petition in federal court and asking the federal court to stay and abey the federal habeas proceedings until state remedies are exhausted."). And the claim petitioner wishes to exhaust involves cognizable federal claims, so are "potentially meritorious." This a stay is GRANTED, and this case is STAYED to allow petitioner to present his unexhausted issues in state court, presumably by way of state petitions for habeas corpus. If petitioner is not granted relief in state court, he may return to this court and ask that the stay be lifted. He must file a request to lift the stay, as well as an amended petition including any newly exhausted claims, within thirty days of the date of state supreme court's decision on such claims.

If petitioner wants to have this case dismissed instead of stayed, petitioner shall file a promptly file a motion for voluntary dismissal in this matter.

The Clerk shall administratively close this matter and terminate docket number 22.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: January 12, 2011

JEFFREY S. WHITE United States District Judge

effug & White

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE
3	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4	
5	EDIC LOCKILA DT
6	ERIC LOCKHART, Case Number: CV08-02935 JSW
7	Plaintiff, CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
8	V.
9	TONY HEDGPETH et al,
10	Defendant.
11	
12	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.
13	That on January 12, 2011, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by
14	depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.
15	derivery receptable focated in the Clerk's office.
16	
17	Eric Lockhart V-17246 P.O. Box 290066
18	Represa, CA 95671-0066
19	A
20	Dated: January 12, 2011 Pichard W. Wieking, Clerk
21	By: Jennifer Ottolini, Deputy Clerk
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	