1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
0	BROWSERCAM, INC., a California
1	corporation, No. C 08-02959 WHA
2	Plaintiff,
3	v. ORDER REFERRING ALL
4	GOMEZ, INC., a Delaware corporation, <b>DISCOVERY DISPUTES</b> <b>TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE</b>
5	Defendant.
6	
7	The Court had previously been informed by counsel that the discovery dispute had been
8	resolved. Now, however, it has apparently come back to life. The problem now is that the
9	judge is beginning a siege of trials and no longer has the time for resolving discovery disputes
0	in the foreseeable future. Therefore, all discovery disputes in this case, including the current
1	one, are hereby <b>REFERRED</b> to a magistrate judge to be selected. All deadlines in the case
2	management order remain in place.
3	
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.
5	Win Alma
6	Dated: December 24, 2008. WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
27	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
28	