Gomez v. Sogge et a	àl Doc. 149
, ,	
1	
2	
3	
4	
. 5	
6	
. 7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
11	
12	
13	PEDRO GOMEZ, C 08-2969 MMC (PR)
14	Plaintiff, [Proposed] ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR AN
15	v. EXTENSION OF TIME TO REPLY TO PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION
16	DOCTOR MERLE SOGGE, et al.,
17	Defendants.
18	
19	For good cause appearing, the request by Defendants Bree, Carr, Cox, Mills, Quam, Rowe,
20	Strain, Timme, Waddell, and Williams for a two-week extension of time to file a reply to Plaintiff
21	Pedro Gomez's opposition to their motion for summary judgment motion is granted. Defendants
22	Bree, Carr, Cox, Mills, Quam, Rowe, Strain, Timme, Waddell, and Williams shall file their reply
23	on or before August 20, 2010.
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.
. 25	
26	
27	Dated: August 5, 2010
28	United States District Judge
	I Order Granting Defs.' Mot. Extension Time Reply Pl.'s Opposition (C 08-2969 MMC (PR))
	Order Granning Dets. 1910. Extension Time Repry 11. 8 Opposition (C 06-2909 Marce (TR))

......