UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Clear Form

| Yvette Simmons | |
|--|---|
| | CASE NO. 3:08-cv-02976-VRW |
| Plaintiff(s), | |
| V. | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] - |
| Derrick O'Neal, et al. | ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS |
| Defendant(s). | |
| (0) | _/ |
| Counsel report that they have a following stipulation pursuant to Civil | met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5: |
| The parties agree to participate in the | following ADR process: |
| Mediation (ADR L.R. of Note: Parties who believe that an ear appreciably more likely to meet their standard phone conference and may not full ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Private Process: | on (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5) (b) (c) (c) (d) (e) (e) (e) (e) (f) (e) (f) (e) (f) (f |
| Private ADR (please identify process and provider) | |
| | |
| | ne (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order a ADR process unless otherwise ordered.) |
| | |
| Dated: 3/19/2009 | Eric Menhart Attorney for Plaintiff |
| Dated: 3/19/2009 | David Morales |

Attorney for Defendant

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

Mediation

Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

90 days from the date of this order.

other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

3/25/09

Dated:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT

JUDGE

