Rocha v. Chao				
1	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (SBN 44332)			
2	United States Attorney JOANN M. SWANSON (CSBN 88143)			
3	Chief, Civil Division ANDREW Y.S. CHENG (CSBN 164613)			
4	Assistant United States Attorney MELISSA K. BROWN (CSBN 203307)			
5	Assistant United States Attorney			
6	450 Golden Gate Avenue, 9 <sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, California 94102-3495			
7	Telephone: (415) 436-6962 Facsimile: (415) 436-6748			
8	Attorneys for Federal Defendant			
9				
10	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
13	ALBERTO ROCHA, Ph.D.	) No. 08-3049 MHP		
14	Plaintiff,	)		
15	V.	<ul> <li>STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]</li> <li>ORDER TO CONTINUE SUMMARY</li> </ul>		
16	HILDA L. SOLIS, Secretary, Department of	) JUDGMENT HEARING DATE AND ) RELATED BRIEFING SCHEDULE		
17	Labor,			
18	Defendant.			
19		)		
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

Doc. 38

1	The plaintiff, Alberto Rocha ("Plaintiff) and the defendant Hilda Solis, Secretary of		
2	Department of Labor ("Defendant") by and through their counsel assert as follows:		
3	WHEREAS, on August 6, 2009, the Honorable Marilyn H. Patel issued an Order		
4	pursuant to the parties' Stipulation To Continue Hearing and Discovery Deadlines ("Order");		
5	WHEREAS, pursuant to the Order the summary judgment hearing date was continued to		
6	December 14, 2009;		
7	WHEREAS, Assistant United States Attorney Andrew Y.S. Cheng left the United States		
8	Attorney's Office to become a Superior Court Judge on September 16, 2009;		
9	WHEREAS, upon Mr. Cheng's departure, the undersigned Assistant United States		
10	Attorney Melissa K. Brown, became lead counsel for this case;		
11	WHEREAS, the undersigned Assistant United States Attorney must review a voluminous		
12	amount of materials in this case prior to filing a motion for summary judgment and balance her		
13	existing caseload and trial schedule;		
14	ACCORDINGLY, it is hereby agreed by the parties, by and through their counsel, that the		
15	summary judgment hearing be continued to February 22, 2010. The Defendant's motion for		
16	summary judgment shall be due on January 18, 2010. The Plaintiff's opposition shall be due on		
17	February 1, 2010 and the Defendant's reply brief shall be due on February 8, 2010.		
18	So Stipulated.		
19	DATED: September 29, 2009 Respectfully submitted,		
20	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO United States Attorney		
21	/s/ Melissa Brown		
22 23	MELISSA K. BROWN Assistant United States Attorney		
24	DATED: September 29, 2009		
25	/s/ Howard Moore JR.		
26	HOWARD MOORE, JR.		
27	Attorney for the Plaintiff Alberto Rocha		
28			
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE THE SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARING AND RELATED BRIEFING SCHEDULE - C08-3049 MHP		
	2		

1	<del>[PROPOSED ]</del> ORDER
2	Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties, the summary judgment hearing is continued to
3	February 22, 2010. The Defendant's motion for summary judgment shall be due on January 18,
4	2010. The Plaintiff's opposition shall be due on February 1, 2010 and the Defendant's reply
5	brief shall be due on February 8, 2010.
6	TES DISTRICE
7	IT IS SO ORDERED
8	E DEPED
9	Dated:
10	
11	MAR Edito Judge Marilyn H. Patel
12	
13	THER DISTRICT OF CASE
14	15TRICT
15	
16	
17 18	
10 19	
20	
20	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE THE SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARING AND RELATED BRIEFING SCHEDULE - C08-3049 MHP
	3