

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

1. REAL PROPERTY AND IMPROVEMENTS
IDENTIFIED BY MENDOCINO COUNTY APN
051-290-04, 051-300-02, 051-310-01, 051-320-01,

2. REAL PROPERTY AND IMPROVEMENTS
IDENTIFIED BY MENDOCINO COUNTY APN
051-180-08, 051-190-05, 052-010-01, 052-010-03,
052-010-09, 052-010-14, 052-010-15, 052-010-16,
052-010-17; REAL PROPERTY AND
IMPROVEMENTS IDENTIFIED BY
HUMBOLDT COUNTY APN 222-024-003,
222-025-003;

3. REAL PROPERTY AND IMPROVEMENTS
IDENTIFIED BY MENDOCINO COUNTY APN
051-180-09, 051-180-10

Defendants.

PAUL SAYERS, GRAESON PRESCOTT,
DIANA MENDES AND BARNUM TIMBER
COMPANY,

Claimants.

No. C 08-03093 WHA

No. CR09-01134 CRB

No. CR09-01135 MHP

No. CR09-01140 MHP

No. CR09-01141 CRB

No. CR09-01142 JSW

No. CR09-01143 SI


**ORDER DECLINING TO
RELATE CRIMINAL CASES**

Judge Jeffrey White has declined to relate the above-numbered criminal cases to his civil forfeiture case. This order does likewise with respect to the civil forfeiture action pending before the undersigned.

1 It is curious that these criminal matters have all been filed separately and were not even
2 related enough to each other to have been brought in a single action. Most of all, the tail would
3 wag the dog for either of the civil forfeiture cases — which have both been stayed without
4 activity due to these criminal proceedings — to serve as a basis to disturb the regular assignment
5 of criminal cases. In sum, the above-numbered criminal cases are *not* related under Criminal
6 Local Rule 8-1(b) to the civil forfeiture action pending before the undersigned.

7
8 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

9
10 Dated: February 5, 2010.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE