

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 MARVIN G. HOLLIS,

No. C 08-3154 TEH (PR)

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF  
TIME TO RESPOND TO MOTION TO  
DISMISS AND STAYING DISCOVERY

14 DEBRA HERRICK, L.P.T., et al.,

15 Defendants.  
16 \_\_\_\_\_/

17  
18 Plaintiff Marvin Hollis, a prisoner currently incarcerated  
19 at California State Prison - Sacramento ("CSP-Sacramento") in  
20 Sacramento, California, filed a pro se civil rights Complaint under  
21 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Doc. #1. On February 23, 2010, the Court  
22 dismissed this action. Doc. #37. In dismissing the action, the  
23 Court found that Plaintiff's Complaint failed to state a First  
24 Amendment claim against defendants Schlitz, Selby and Rankin. The  
25 Court dismissed defendant Herrick as a defendant because the summons  
26 served on Defendant Herrick was returned unexecuted. See Doc. #37  
27 at 2 fn. 1 (citing Doc. #12).

28 On July 5, 2011, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals


1 affirmed the dismissal of the retaliation claims against defendants  
2 Schlitz, Selby and Rankin for failure to state a claim. The Ninth  
3 Circuit vacated and remanded for the district court to consider  
4 Plaintiff's allegations that he was denied his due process rights  
5 and whether there was good cause for the failure to serve defendant  
6 Herrick, or whether, absent good cause, an extension was warranted.  
7 Doc. #43. Accordingly, this case was reopened.

8 Plaintiff has filed a motion to reopen this case. Doc.  
9 #51. Defendants have filed a motion to revoke Plaintiff's in forma  
10 pauperis status, a renewed motion to dismiss, and a motion to stay  
11 discovery pending the resolution of the renewed motion to dismiss.  
12 Doc. #48. Plaintiff seeks a 30-day extension of time to file his  
13 response to Defendants' motion to dismiss. Doc. #52.

14 Plaintiff's request for an extension of time is GRANTED.  
15 Doc. #52. Plaintiff shall file his opposition to the renewed motion  
16 to dismiss by July 31, 2012. No other extensions of time will be  
17 granted. Plaintiff's request to reopen this case is DENIED as moot.  
18 Doc. #51. Defendants' motion to stay discovery pending the  
19 resolution of the renewed motion to dismiss is GRANTED. Doc. #48.  
20 The Court will address all other pending motions after deciding the  
21 renewed motion to dismiss.

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23  
24 DATED 06/19/2012

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
THELTON E. HENDERSON  
United States District Judge

25  
26  
27 G:\PRO-SE\TEH\CR.08\Hollis-08-3154-eot to file opp to mtd stay discovery.wpd  
28