1 2 3 4 5 6 7	ROBERT J. YORIO (SBN 93178) yorio@carrferrell.com COLBY B. SPRINGER (SBN 214868) cspringer@carrferrell.com CHRISTOPHER P. GREWE (SBN 245938) cgrewe@carrferrell.com CARR & FERRELL LLP 2200 Geng Road Palo Alto, California 94303 Telephone: (650) 812-3400 Facsimile: (650) 812-3444  Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaimant		
8	PSYSTAR CORPORATION		
9	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
12 13 14 15 16	APPLE INC., a California corporation,  Plaintiff,  v.  PSYSTAR CORPORATION,  Defendant.	CASE NO. CV-08-03251-WHA  PSYSTAR CORPORATION'S RESPONSES TO APPLE INC.'S SECOND SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION (SET NO. 30-100)	
18 19	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.		
20 21 22 23 24 25	PROPOUNDING PARTY: APPLE INC.  RESPONDING PARTY: PSYSTAR CORPORATION TWO (2)  TAKE NOTICE THAT Defendent PSYSTAM		
26 27 28	TAKE NOTICE THAT Defendant PSYST second set of requests for admission of Plaintiff Al "Requests").	AR CORPORATION responds as follows to the PPLE INC. dated November 11, 2008 (the	

## 1 **RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS** 2 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 30:** 3 Admit that PSYSTAR has distributed MAC OS X. **RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 30:** 5 Psystar Corporation repeats and incorporates by reference its GENERAL OBJECTIONS in full as if set forth herein. Psystar Corporation further objects on the grounds that this request is 6 7 vague and ambiguous, inter alia, in its use of the term "distributed." Subject to and without 8 waiving these objections, Psystar Corporation responds as follows: 9 Psystar Corporation legally acquired retail copies of MAC OS X from retailers, including without limitation The Apple Store, Best Buy, Circuit City, NewEgg.com and Amazon.com, and 10 11 installed those legally acquired retail copies of MAC OS X on computers sold by Psystar 12 Corporation. In this context, Psystar Corporation admits the request. 13 14 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 31:** 15 Admit that PSYSTAR distributes MAC OS X on its Open Computer. 16 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 31:** 17 Psystar Corporation repeats and incorporates by reference its GENERAL OBJECTIONS in full as if set forth herein. Psystar Corporation further objects on the grounds that this request is 18 19 vague and ambiguous, inter alia, in its use of the terms "distributes" and "on." Subject to and 20 without waiving these objections, Psystar Corporation responds as follows: Psystar Corporation legally acquired retail copies of MAC OS X from retailers, including 21 22 without limitation The Apple Store, Best Buy, Circuit City, NewEgg.com and Amazon.com, and 23 installed those legally acquired retail copies of MAC OS X on Open computers sold by Psystar 24 Corporation. In this context, Psystar Corporation admits the request. 25 26 27

28

## **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 32:**

Admit that PSYSTAR distributes MAC OS X on its OpenPro computer.

## **RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 32:**

Psystar Corporation repeats and incorporates by reference its GENERAL OBJECTIONS in full as if set forth herein. Psystar Corporation further objects on the grounds that this request is vague and ambiguous, *inter alia*, in its use of the terms "distributes" and "on." Subject to and without waiving these objections, Psystar Corporation responds as follows:

Psystar Corporation legally acquired retail copies of MAC OS X from retailers, including without limitation The Apple Store, Best Buy, Circuit City, NewEgg.com and Amazon.com, and installed those legally acquired retail copies of MAC OS X on OpenPro computers sold by Psystar Corporation. In this context, Psystar Corporation admits the request.

### **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 33:**

Admit that PSYSTAR distributes MAC OS X on its OpenServ 800.

## **RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 33:**

Psystar Corporation repeats and incorporates by reference its GENERAL OBJECTIONS in full as if set forth herein. Psystar Corporation further objects on the grounds that this request is vague and ambiguous, *inter alia*, in its use of the term "distributes." Psystar Corporation further objects to this request on the grounds that the request is overbroad and unduly burdensome and seeks information that is not relevant to the claim or defense of any party. PSYSTAR has not distributed OpenServ 800 servers with MAC OS X installed. Subject to and without waiving these objections, Psystar Corporation responds as follows: Denied.

### **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 34:**

Admit that PSYSTAR distributes MAC OS X on its OpenServ 1100.

## **RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 34:**

Psystar Corporation repeats and incorporates by reference its GENERAL OBJECTIONS in full as if set forth herein. Psystar Corporation further objects on the grounds that this request is

vague and ambiguous, *inter alia*, in its use of the term "distributes." Psystar Corporation further objects to this request on the grounds that the request is overbroad and unduly burdensome and seeks information that is not relevant to the claim or defense of any party as PSYSTAR has not distributed OpenServ 1100 servers with MAC OS X installed. Subject to and without waiving these objections, Psystar Corporation responds as follows: Denied.

## **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 35:**

Admit that PSYSTAR distributes MAC OS X on its OpenServ 2400.

## **RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 35:**

Psystar Corporation repeats and incorporates by reference its GENERAL OBJECTIONS in full as if set forth herein. Psystar Corporation further objects on the grounds that this request is vague and ambiguous, *inter alia*, in its use of the term "distributes." Psystar Corporation further objects to this request on the grounds that the request is overbroad and unduly burdensome and seeks information that is not relevant to the claim or defense of any party as PSYSTAR has not sold OpenServ 2400 servers with MAC OS X installed. Subject to and without waiving these objections, Psystar Corporation responds as follows: Denied.

## **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 36:**

Admit that PSYSTAR distributes MAC OS X with its Open Computers.

### **RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 36:**

Psystar Corporation repeats and incorporates by reference its GENERAL OBJECTIONS in full as if set forth herein. Psystar Corporation further objects on the grounds that this request is vague and ambiguous, *inter alia*, in its use of the terms "distributed" and "with." Subject to and without waiving these objections, Psystar Corporation responds as follows:

Psystar Corporation legally acquired retail copies of MAC OS X from retailers, including without limitation The Apple Store, Best Buy, Circuit City, NewEgg.com and Amazon.com, and installed those legally acquired retail copies of MAC OS X on Open computers sold by Psystar

1	Corporation. That legally acquired copy is then shipped with the Open computer. In this context,
2	Psystar Corporation admits the request.
3	
4	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 37:
5	Admit that PSYSTAR distributes MAC OS X with its OpenPro computer.
6	RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 37:
7	Psystar Corporation repeats and incorporates by reference its GENERAL OBJECTIONS in
8	full as if set forth herein. Psystar Corporation further objects on the grounds that this request is
9	vague and ambiguous, inter alia, in its use of the terms "distributed" and "with." Subject to and
10	without waiving these objections, Psystar Corporation responds as follows:
11	Psystar Corporation legally acquired retail copies of MAC OS X from retailers, including
12	without limitation The Apple Store, Best Buy, Circuit City, NewEgg.com and Amazon.com, and
13	installed those legally acquired retail copies of MAC OS X on OpenPro computers sold by Psystar
14	Corporation. That legally acquired copy is then shipped with the OpenPro computer. In this
15	context, Psystar Corporation admits the request.
16	
17	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 38:
18	Admit that PSYSTAR distributes MAC OS X with its OpenServ 1100.
19	RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 38:
20	Psystar Corporation repeats and incorporates by reference its GENERAL OBJECTIONS in
21	full as if set forth herein. Psystar Corporation further objects on the grounds that this request is
22	vague and ambiguous, inter alia, in its use of the term "distributes." Psystar Corporation further
23	objects to this request on the grounds that the request is overbroad and unduly burdensome and
24	seeks information that is not relevant to the claim or defense of any party as PSYSTAR has not
25	distributed OpenServ 1100 servers with MAC OS X installed. Subject to and without waiving

these objections, Psystar Corporation responds as follows: Denied.

26

27

28

## **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 39:**

Admit that PSYSTAR distributes MAC OS X with its OpenServ 2400.

### **RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 39:**

Psystar Corporation repeats and incorporates by reference its GENERAL OBJECTIONS in full as if set forth herein. Psystar Corporation further objects on the grounds that this request is vague and ambiguous, *inter alia*, in its use of the term "distributes." Psystar Corporation further objects to this request on the grounds that the request is overbroad and unduly burdensome and seeks information that is not relevant to the claim or defense of any party as PSYSTAR has not distributed OpenServ 1100 servers with MAC OS X installed. Subject to and without waiving these objections, Psystar Corporation responds as follows: Denied.

### **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 40:**

Admit that PSYSTAR has distributed one or more discs of MAC OS X.

## **RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 40:**

Psystar Corporation repeats and incorporates by reference its GENERAL OBJECTIONS in full as if set forth herein. Psystar Corporation further objects to this request insofar as it calls for documents or information outside the current possession, custody, or control of Psystar Corporation. Psystar Corporation further objects on the grounds that this request is vague and ambiguous, *inter alia*, in its use of the terms "distributed" and "discs." Subject to and without waiving these objections, Psystar Corporation responds as follows:

Psystar Corporation legally acquired retail copies of MAC OS X from retailers, including without limitation The Apple Store, Best Buy, Circuit City, NewEgg.com and Amazon.com. The legally acquired retail copies (i.e., the discs) of MAC OS X are then shipped with the computers sold by Psystar Corporation. In this context, Psystar Corporation admits the request.

### **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 41:**

Admit that PSYSTAR has distributed one or more discs of MAC OS X within its original shrink-wrapped plastic film.

## **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 53:**

Admit that PSYSTAR has preinstalled MAC OS X on PSYSTAR's OpenServ 1100.

## **RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 53:**

Psystar Corporation repeats and incorporates by reference its GENERAL OBJECTIONS in full as if set forth herein. Psystar Corporation further objects on the grounds that this request is vague and ambiguous, *inter alia*, in its use of the term "preinstalled." Psystar Corporation further objects to this request on the grounds that the request is overbroad and unduly burdensome and seeks information that is not relevant to the claim or defense of any party. As the present request for admission is wholly unintelligible, Psystar Corporation denies the request.

### **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 54:**

Admit that PSYSTAR has preinstalled MAC OS X on PSYSTAR's OpenServ 2400.

### **RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 54:**

Psystar Corporation repeats and incorporates by reference its GENERAL OBJECTIONS in full as if set forth herein. Psystar Corporation further objects on the grounds that this request is vague and ambiguous, *inter alia*, in its use of the term "preinstalled." Psystar Corporation further objects to this request on the grounds that the request is overbroad and unduly burdensome and seeks information that is not relevant to the claim or defense of any party. As the present request for admission is wholly unintelligible, Psystar Corporation denies the request.

### **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 55:**

Admit that PSYSTAR has distributed a copy of MAC OS X to one or more of its customers for use with PSYSTAR's Open Computer.

## **RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 55:**

Psystar Corporation repeats and incorporates by reference its GENERAL OBJECTIONS in full as if set forth herein. Psystar Corporation further objects on the grounds that this request is vague and ambiguous, *inter alia*, in its use of the terms "distributed," "copy" and "use with." Subject to and without waiving these objections, Psystar Corporation responds as follows:

Psystar Corporation admits that it legally acquired retail copies of MAC OS X from retailers, including without limitation The Apple Store, Best Buy, Circuit City, NewEgg.com and Amazon.com and that it has distributed those legally acquired copies to purchasers of Open computers sold by Psystar Corporation. **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 56:** Admit that PSYSTAR has distributed a copy of MAC OS X to one or more of its customers for use with PSYSTAR's OpenPro computer.

## **RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 56:**

Psystar Corporation repeats and incorporates by reference its GENERAL OBJECTIONS in full as if set forth herein. Psystar Corporation further objects on the grounds that this request is vague and ambiguous, inter alia, in its use of the terms "distributed," "copy" and "use with." Subject to and without waiving these objections, Psystar Corporation responds as follows:

Psystar Corporation admits that it legally acquired retail copies of MAC OS X from retailers, including without limitation The Apple Store, Best Buy, Circuit City, NewEgg.com and Amazon.com and that it has distributed those legally acquired copies to purchasers of OpenPro computers sold by Psystar Corporation.

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

### **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 57:**

Admit that PSYSTAR has distributed a copy of MAC OS X to one or more of its customers for use with PSYSTAR's OpenServ 800.

### **RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 57:**

Psystar Corporation repeats and incorporates by reference its GENERAL OBJECTIONS in full as if set forth herein. Psystar Corporation further objects on the grounds that this request is vague and ambiguous, inter alia, in its use of the terms "distributed," "copy" and "use with." Psystar Corporation further objects to this request on the grounds that the request is overbroad and unduly burdensome and seeks information that is not relevant to the claim or defense of any party. 28 | Subject to and without waiving these objections, Psystar Corporation responds as follows:

## 1 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 100:** 2 Admit that by transferring a PSYSTAR PRODUCTS with MAC OS X preinstalled to a customer or a THIRD PARTY, PSYSTAR is transferring portions of APPLE firmware. 3 4 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 100:** 5 Psystar Corporation repeats and incorporates by reference its GENERAL OBJECTIONS in full as if set forth herein. Psystar Corporation further objects on the grounds that this request is 6 vague and ambiguous, inter alia, in its use of the terms "transferring," "preinstalled," "customer," 7 "portions" and "APPLE firmware." As the request for admission is wholly unintelligible, the 8 9 request is denied. 10 Dated: December 11, 2008 CARR & FERRELL LLP 12 13 14 **PRINGER** CHRISTOPHER P. GREWE 15 Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaimant 16 PSYSTAR CORPORATION 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1 2 3 4 5 6	ROBERT J. YORIO (SBN 93178) yorio@carrferrell.com COLBY B. SPRINGER (SBN 214868) cspringer@carrferrell.com CHRISTOPHER P. GREWE (SBN 245938) cgrewe@carrferrell.com CARR & FERRELL LLP 2200 Geng Road Palo Alto, California 94303 Telephone: (650) 812-3440 Facsimile: (650) 812-3444			
7 8	Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaimant PSYSTAR CORPORATION			
9	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	SAN FRANCIS	CO DIVISION .		
12				
13	APPLE INC., a California corporation,	CASE NO. CV-08-03251-WHA		
14	Plaintiff,	PSYSTAR CORPORATION'S		
15 16	v. PSYSTAR CORPORATION,	SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO APPLE INC.'S SECOND SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION		
17	Defendant.			
18 19	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.			
20				
21				
22	PROPOUNDING PARTY: APPLE INC.			
23	RESPONDING PARTY: PSYSTAR CORPOR	RATION		
24	SET NO: TWO (2)			
25 26	TAKE NOTICE THAT Defendant Psystar responses to Plaintiff Apple Inc.'s second set of rec	•		
27		•		
28	Corporation originally responded on December 11,	2008. These responses supplement Psystar		

	·
` <b>1</b>	relevant to the claim or defense of any party as PSYSTAR has not sold OpenServ 1100 servers with
2	MAC OS X installed. Subject to and without waiving these objections, Psystar Corporation
3	responds as follows: Denied.
4	
.5	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 92:
6	Admit that PSYSTAR has used a MASTER COPY to preinstall MAC OS X on the
7	OpenServ 2400.
8	SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 92:
9	Psystar Corporation repeats and incorporates by reference its GENERAL OBJECTIONS in
10	full as if set forth herein. Psystar Corporation further objects on the grounds that this request is
11	vague and ambiguous, inter alia, in its use of the term "used" and its use of the term "MASTER
12	COPY" to mean "the copy of MAC OS X that PSYSTAR has used or used to install MAC OS X on
13	more than one computer or server." Psystar Corporation further objects to this request on the
14	grounds that the request is overbroad and unduly burdensome and seeks information that is not
15	relevant to the claim or defense of any party as PSYSTAR has not sold OpenServ 2400 servers with
16	MAC OS X installed. Subject to and without waiving these objections, Psystar Corporation
17	responds as follows: Denied.
18	
19	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 94:
20	Admit that PSYSTAR's Open Computer copies portions of MAC OS X to random access
21	memory (RAM) when running MAX OS X.
22	SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 94:
23	Psystar Corporation repeats and incorporates by reference its GENERAL OBJECTIONS in
24	full as if set forth herein. Psystar Corporation further objects on the grounds that this request is
25	vague and ambiguous, inter alia, in its use of the terms "copies" and "portions." Subject to and
26	without waiving these objections, Psystar Corporation responds as follows:
27	Psystar Corporation admits that computers, including but not limited to Psystar

28 Corporation's Open Computer, run by utilizing random access memory to run an operating system.

RAM is volatile and is subsequently erased when the corresponding computer is "shut off" or "restarted"; RAM is thus constantly being rewritten. In this context, Psystar admits this request.

3

2

## **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 95:**

5

4

Admit that PSYSTAR's OpenPro computer copies portions of MAC OS X to random access memory (RAM) when running MAX OS X.

6 7

## **SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 94:**

8

9

Psystar Corporation repeats and incorporates by reference its GENERAL OBJECTIONS in full as if set forth herein. Psystar Corporation further objects on the grounds that this request is vague and ambiguous, *inter alia*, in its use of the terms "copies" and "portions." Subject to and without waiving these objections, Psystar Corporation responds as follows:

11

12

13

10

Psystar Corporation admits that computers, including but not limited to Psystar

Corporation's OpenPro Computer, run by utilizing random access memory to run an operating

system. RAM is volatile and is subsequently erased when the corresponding computer is "shut off"

14

15

or "restarted"; RAM is thus constantly being rewritten. In this context, Psystar admits this request.

16

17

DEATE

## **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 96:**

19

18

Admit that PSYSTAR's OpenServ 800 copies portions of MAC OS X to random access memory (RAM) when running MAX OS X.

20 21

## **SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 96:**

22

full as if set forth herein. Psystar Corporation further objects on the grounds that this request is

Psystar Corporation repeats and incorporates by reference its GENERAL OBJECTIONS in

24

vague and ambiguous, inter alia, in its use of the terms "copies" and "portions." Psystar

25

Corporation further objects to this request on the grounds that the request is overbroad and unduly

2627

PSYSTAR has not sold OpenServ 800 servers with MAC OS X installed. Subject to and without

burdensome and seeks information that is not relevant to the claim or defense of any party as

28

waiving these objections, Psystar Corporation responds as follows:

1	Dated: January 9, 2009	CARR & FERRELL LLP
2		$\Omega$ $\Omega$
3		By: Thristopher frewe
5		ROBERT J. YORIO COLBY B. SPRINGER CHRISTOPHER P. GREWE
6		Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaimant PSYSTAR CORPORATION
7		
8	,	
9		
10		•
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	•	
25		
26		
27		
28		

ROBERT J. YORIO (SBN 93178) yorio@carrferrell.com COLBY B. SPRINGER (SBN 214868) springer@carrferrell.com CHRISTOPHER P. OREWE (SBN 245938) cgrewe@carrferrell.com CARR & FERRELL ILP 2200 Geng Road Palo Alto, California 94303 Telephone: (650) 812-3440 Facsimile: (650) 812-3440  Facsimile: (650) 812-3440  Facsimile: (650) 812-3440  INTED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  APPLE INC., a California corporation, Plaintiff, V. PSYSTAR CORPORATION, Defendant.  AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.  PROPOUNDING PARTY: APPLE INC. RESPONDING PARTY: APPLE INC. RESPONDING PARTY: PSYSTAR CORPORATION SET NO: THREE (3)  TAKE NOTICE THAT Defendant PSYSTAR CORPORATION responds as follows to the third set of requests for admission of Plaintiff APPLE INC. dated December 3, 2008 (the "Requests").					
CHRISTOPHER P. GREWE (SBN 245938) cgrew@carrierrell.com CARR & FERRELL LLP 2200 Geng Road Palo Alto, California 94303 Telephone: (650) 812-3400 Facsimile: (650) 812-3400 Facsimile: (650) 812-3404  Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaimant PSYSTAR CORPORATION  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  APPLE INC., a California corporation, Plaintiff, V. PSYSTAR CORPORATION, PSYSTAR CORPORATION, Defendant.  AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.  PROPOUNDING PARTY: APPLE INC. RESPONSES TO APPLE INC.'S THIRD SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION (NOS. 101-161)  PROPOUNDING PARTY: PSYSTAR CORPORATION SET NO: THREE (3)  TAKE NOTICE THAT Defendant PSYSTAR CORPORATION responds as follows to the third set of requests for admission of Plaintiff APPLE INC. dated December 3, 2008 (the "Requests").		yorio@carrferrell.com COLBY B. SPRINGER (SBN 214868)			
CARR & FERRELL LLP 2200 Geng Road Palo Alto, California 94303 Telephone: (650) 812-3400 Facsimile: (650) 812-3404  Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaimant PSYSTAR CORPORATION  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  APPLE INC., a California corporation, Plaintiff, V. PSYSTAR CORPORATION'S RESPONSES TO APPLE INC.'S THIRD SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION (NOS. 101-161)  PROPOUNDING PARTY: APPLE INC. RESPONDING PARTY: PSYSTAR CORPORATION SET NO: THREE (3)  TAKE NOTICE THAT Defendant PSYSTAR CORPORATION responds as follows to the third set of requests for admission of Plaintiff APPLE INC. dated December 3, 2008 (the "Requests").	3	cspringer@carrferrell.com			
5 Palo Alto, California 94303 Telephone: (650) 812-3400 6 Facsimile: (650) 812-3444 7 Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaimant PSYSTAR CORPORATION  9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 12 APPLE INC., a California corporation, Plaintiff, 14 V. 15 PSYSTAR CORPORATION, Defendant. 16 Defendant. 17 AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.  19 20 21 PROPOUNDING PARTY: APPLE INC. 22 RESPONDING PARTY: PSYSTAR CORPORATION SET NO: THREE (3)  TAKE NOTICE THAT Defendant PSYSTAR CORPORATION responds as follows to the third set of requests for admission of Plaintiff APPLE INC. dated December 3, 2008 (the "Requests").	4	cgrewe@carrferrell.com CARR & FERRELL <i>LLP</i>			
Telephone: (650) 812-3444  Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaimant PSYSTAR CORPORATION  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  APPLE INC., a California corporation, Plaintiff,  V. PSYSTAR CORPORATION, Defendant.  AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.  PROPOUNDING PARTY: APPLE INC. RESPONDING PARTY: APPLE INC. RESPONDING PARTY: PSYSTAR CORPORATION SET NO: THREE (3)  TAKE NOTICE THAT Defendant PSYSTAR CORPORATION responds as follows to the third set of requests for admission of Plaintiff APPLE INC. dated December 3, 2008 (the "Requests").	5	2200 Geng Road Palo Alto, California 94303			
B 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  APPLE INC., a California corporation, Plaintiff, V. PSYSTAR CORPORATION, Defendant.  AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.  PROPOUNDING PARTY: APPLE INC. RESPONDING PARTY: PSYSTAR CORPORATION SET NO: THREE (3)  TAKE NOTICE THAT Defendant PSYSTAR CORPORATION responds as follows to the third set of requests for admission of Plaintiff APPLE INC. dated December 3, 2008 (the "Requests").	6	Telephone: (650) 812-3400			
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  APPLE INC., a California corporation, Plaintiff, V. PSYSTAR CORPORATION'S RESPONSES TO APPLE INC.'S THIRD SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION (NOS. 101-161)  PROPOUNDING PARTY: APPLE INC. RESPONDING PARTY: PSYSTAR CORPORATION SET NO: THREE (3)  TAKE NOTICE THAT Defendant PSYSTAR CORPORATION responds as follows to the third set of requests for admission of Plaintiff APPLE INC. dated December 3, 2008 (the "Requests").	-	Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaimant PSYSTAR CORPORATION			
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  APPLE INC., a California corporation,  Plaintiff,  V.  PSYSTAR CORPORATION,  Defendant.  AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.  PROPOUNDING PARTY: APPLE INC.  RESPONDING PARTY: PSYSTAR CORPORATION  SET NO: THREE (3)  TAKE NOTICE THAT Defendant PSYSTAR CORPORATION responds as follows to the third set of requests for admission of Plaintiff APPLE INC. dated December 3, 2008 (the "Requests").		፤ ፤ኢ፤የጥያ፣ነጉ ርጣ፣ ል ጥነነብ ያ	NOTE OF COLUMN		
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  APPLE INC., a California corporation, Plaintiff, V. PSYSTAR CORPORATION, Defendant.  AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.  PROPOUNDING PARTY: APPLE INC. RESPONDING PARTY: PSYSTAR CORPORATION SET NO: THREE (3)  TAKE NOTICE THAT Defendant PSYSTAR CORPORATION responds as follows to the third set of requests for admission of Plaintiff APPLE INC. dated December 3, 2008 (the "Requests").					
APPLE INC., a California corporation, Plaintiff, PSYSTAR CORPORATION'S RESPONSES TO APPLE INC.'S THIRD SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION (NOS. 101-161)  PROPOUNDING PARTY: APPLE INC. RESPONDING PARTY: PSYSTAR CORPORATION SET NO: THREE (3)  TAKE NOTICE THAT Defendant PSYSTAR CORPORATION responds as follows to the third set of requests for admission of Plaintiff APPLE INC. dated December 3, 2008 (the "Requests").					
APPLE INC., a California corporation,  Plaintiff,  V.  PSYSTAR CORPORATION'S RESPONSES TO APPLE INC.'S THIRD SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION (NOS. 101-161)  PROPOUNDING PARTY: APPLE INC.  RESPONDING PARTY: PSYSTAR CORPORATION SET NO: THREE (3)  TAKE NOTICE THAT Defendant PSYSTAR CORPORATION responds as follows to the third set of requests for admission of Plaintiff APPLE INC. dated December 3, 2008 (the "Requests").		SAN FRANCIS	CO DIVISION		
Plaintiff,  PSYSTAR CORPORATION'S RESPONSES TO APPLE INC.'S THIRD SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION (NOS. 101-161)  AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.  PROPOUNDING PARTY: APPLE INC. RESPONDING PARTY: PSYSTAR CORPORATION SET NO: THREE (3)  TAKE NOTICE THAT Defendant PSYSTAR CORPORATION responds as follows to the third set of requests for admission of Plaintiff APPLE INC. dated December 3, 2008 (the "Requests").		APPLE INC., a California corporation,	CASE NO. CV-08-03251-WHA		
PSYSTAR CORPORATION, Defendant.  AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.  PROPOUNDING PARTY: APPLE INC. RESPONDING PARTY: PSYSTAR CORPORATION SET NO: THREE (3)  TAKE NOTICE THAT Defendant PSYSTAR CORPORATION responds as follows to the third set of requests for admission of Plaintiff APPLE INC. dated December 3, 2008 (the "Requests").	13	Plaintiff,			
PSYSTAR CORPORATION, Defendant.  AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.  PROPOUNDING PARTY: APPLE INC. RESPONDING PARTY: PSYSTAR CORPORATION SET NO: THREE (3)  TAKE NOTICE THAT Defendant PSYSTAR CORPORATION responds as follows to the third set of requests for admission of Plaintiff APPLE INC. dated December 3, 2008 (the "Requests").	14	<b>v.</b>			
Defendant.  AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.  PROPOUNDING PARTY: APPLE INC. RESPONDING PARTY: PSYSTAR CORPORATION SET NO: THREE (3)  TAKE NOTICE THAT Defendant PSYSTAR CORPORATION responds as follows to the third set of requests for admission of Plaintiff APPLE INC. dated December 3, 2008 (the "Requests").	15	PSYSTAR CORPORATION,	SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION (NOS. 101-161)		
AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.  19 20 21 PROPOUNDING PARTY: APPLE INC. 22 RESPONDING PARTY: PSYSTAR CORPORATION 23 SET NO: THREE (3) 24 25 TAKE NOTICE THAT Defendant PSYSTAR CORPORATION responds as follows to the third set of requests for admission of Plaintiff APPLE INC. dated December 3, 2008 (the "Requests").	16	Defendant.	·		
PROPOUNDING PARTY: APPLE INC. RESPONDING PARTY: PSYSTAR CORPORATION SET NO: THREE (3)  TAKE NOTICE THAT Defendant PSYSTAR CORPORATION responds as follows to the third set of requests for admission of Plaintiff APPLE INC. dated December 3, 2008 (the "Requests").	17				
20 21 PROPOUNDING PARTY: APPLE INC. 22 RESPONDING PARTY: PSYSTAR CORPORATION 23 SET NO: THREE (3) 24 25 TAKE NOTICE THAT Defendant PSYSTAR CORPORATION responds as follows to the third set of requests for admission of Plaintiff APPLE INC. dated December 3, 2008 (the "Requests").	18	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.			
PROPOUNDING PARTY: APPLE INC. RESPONDING PARTY: PSYSTAR CORPORATION SET NO: THREE (3)  TAKE NOTICE THAT Defendant PSYSTAR CORPORATION responds as follows to the third set of requests for admission of Plaintiff APPLE INC. dated December 3, 2008 (the "Requests").	19		·		
RESPONDING PARTY: PSYSTAR CORPORATION  SET NO: THREE (3)  TAKE NOTICE THAT Defendant PSYSTAR CORPORATION responds as follows to the third set of requests for admission of Plaintiff APPLE INC. dated December 3, 2008 (the "Requests").	20		·		
SET NO: THREE (3)  TAKE NOTICE THAT Defendant PSYSTAR CORPORATION responds as follows to the third set of requests for admission of Plaintiff APPLE INC. dated December 3, 2008 (the "Requests").	21	PROPOUNDING PARTY: APPLE INC.			
TAKE NOTICE THAT Defendant PSYSTAR CORPORATION responds as follows to the third set of requests for admission of Plaintiff APPLE INC. dated December 3, 2008 (the "Requests").	22	RESPONDING PARTY: PSYSTAR CORPOR	RATION		
TAKE NOTICE THAT Defendant PSYSTAR CORPORATION responds as follows to the third set of requests for admission of Plaintiff APPLE INC. dated December 3, 2008 (the "Requests").	23	SET NO: THREE (3)			
third set of requests for admission of Plaintiff APPLE INC. dated December 3, 2008 (the "Requests").	24				
27 "Requests").	25	TAKE NOTICE THAT Defendant PSYSTA	AR CORPORATION responds as follows to the		
	26	third set of requests for admission of Plaintiff APP	LE INC. dated December 3, 2008 (the		
28	27	"Requests").			
	28				

1	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 159:
2	Admit that no PERSON has developed an operating system on behalf of PSYSTAR.
3	RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 159:
4	Psystar Corporation repeats and incorporates by reference its GENERAL OBJECTIONS in
5	full as if set forth herein. Subject to and without waiving these objections, Psystar Corporation
6	responds as follows: Admitted.
7	
8	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 160:
9	Admit that PSYSTAR has not developed its own operating system.
10	RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 160:
11	Psystar Corporation repeats and incorporates by reference its GENERAL OBJECTIONS in
12	full as if set forth herein. Subject to and without waiving these objections, Psystar Corporation
13	responds as follows: Admitted.
14	
15	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 161:
16	Admit that PSYSTAR did not expend resources to develop its own operating system.
17	RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 161:
18	Psystar Corporation repeats and incorporates by reference its GENERAL OBJECTIONS in
19	full as if set forth herein. Subject to and without waiving these objections, Psystar Corporation
20	responds as follows: Admitted.
21	
22	Dated: January 16, 2009 CARR & FERRELL LLP
23	10. 11
24	By thistypher Mewe
25	ROBERT(J. YORIO) COLBY B. SPRINGER
26	CHRISTOPHER P. GREWE  Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaimant
27	PSYSTAR CORPORATION
28	

	·			
1	ROBERT J. YORIO (SBN 93178) yorio@carrferrell.com			
2				
3	CHRISTOPHER P. GREWE (SBN 245938) cgrewe@carrferrell.com			
4	CARR & FERRELL <i>LLP</i> 2200 Geng Road			
5	Palo Alto, California 94303 Telephone: (650) 812-3400			
6	Facsimile: (650) 812-3444			
7 8	Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaimant PSYSTAR CORPORATION			
9	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	SAN FRANCIS	CO DIVISION		
12	APPLE INC., a California corporation,	CASE NO. CV-08-03251-WHA		
13	Plaintiff,	0.1221.0.01.00.03231.11111		
14	V. V.	PSYSTAR CORPORATION'S SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO		
15	PSYSTAR CORPORATION,	APPLE INC.'S REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION NOS. 14-18 AND 23-27; AND		
16	Defendant.	PSYSTAR CORPORATION'S FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO		
17		APPLE INC.'S REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION NO. 19 AND 20-22		
18	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.  ADMISSION NO. 19 AND 20-22			
19				
20	PROPOUNDING PARTY: APPLE INC.			
21	RESPONDING PARTY: PSYSTAR CORPOR	ATION		
22	SET NO: ONE (1)			
23				
24	TAKE NOTICE THAT at the request of and as a co	ourtesy to Apple Inc., Defendant Psystar		
25	Corporation submits these second supplemental responses to Plaintiff Apple Inc.'s first set of			
26	requests for admission. Psystar Corporation originally responded to Apple Inc.'s first set of			
27	requests for admission on December 4, 2008. Supplemental responses were served January 9,			
28	2009; those response supplemented Psystar Corpor	ation's original responses of December 4, 2008		

installed. Subject to and without waiving these objections, Psystar Corporation responds as follows:

Psystar Corporation denies the request. Psystar Corporation believes that if Psystar Corporation had elected to, attempted to, or did install MAC OS X on OpenServ 2400 computers that Psystar Corporation did so with a license. Notwithstanding that belief, Psystar Corporation reiterates that certain terms of the APPLE Software License Agreements are invalid and/or unenforceable.

## **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 19:**

Admit that PSYSTAR does not have a license to distribute MAC OS X.

## FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 19:

Psystar Corporation repeats and incorporates by reference its GENERAL OBJECTIONS in full as if set forth herein. Psystar Corporation further objects on the grounds that this request is vague and ambiguous, *inter alia*, in its use of the term "distribute." Subject to and without waiving these objections, Psystar Corporation responds as follows:

Psystar Corporation admits that it does not have a license to distribute MAC OS X. Psystar Corporation does not have a license because Psystar Corporation does not require a license to distribute MAC OS X. Any rights that Apple might have to control distribution of MAC OS X are, at the very least, exhausted upon Psystar Corporation having legally purchased a legitimate copy of the MAC OS X from an Apple authorized distributor.

## **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 20:**

Admit that it is necessary to agree to the terms of APPLE's Software License Agreement when installing MAC OS X.

## FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 20:

Psystar Corporation repeats and incorporates by reference its GENERAL OBJECTIONS in full as if set forth herein. Psystar Corporation further objects on the grounds that this request is

1	vague and ambiguous, inter alia, in its use of the term "APPLE's Software License Agreement."
2	Subject to and without waiving these objections, Psystar Corporation responds as follows:
3	Denied. It is not necessary when installing the MAC OS X on an Open Computer or an
4	OpenPro to acknowledge agreement to the terms of APPLE's Software License Agreement.
5	Notwithstanding, Psystar contends that certain terms of the APPLE Software License Agreement
6	are invalid and unenforceable.
7	
8	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 21:
9	Admit that it is necessary to agree to the terms of APPLE's Software License Agreement
10	before installing MAC OS X.
11	FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 21:
12	Psystar Corporation repeats and incorporates by reference its GENERAL OBJECTIONS in
13	full as if set forth herein. Psystar Corporation further objects on the grounds that this request is
14	vague and ambiguous, inter alia, in its use of the terms "necessary to agree" and "APPLE's
15	Software License Agreement." Subject to and without waiving these objections, Psystar
16	Corporation responds as follows:
17	Admitted. Before installing the MAC OS X on an Open Computer or an OpenPro, Psystar
18	Corporation agreed to the terms of the Software License Agreement. That agreement occurred,
19	however, on a MacMini before installation of the Mac OS X on the aforementioned Open Compute
20	or OpenPro began. Notwithstanding, Psystar contends that certain terms of the APPLE Software
21	License Agreement are invalid and unenforceable.
22	
23	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 22:
24	Admit that when PSYSTAR installs MAC OS X, the installer must acknowledge agreement
25	to the terms of APPLE's Software License Agreement.
26	FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 22:
27	Psystar Corporation repeats and incorporates by reference its GENERAL OBJECTIONS in
28	full as if set forth herein. Psystar Corporation further objects on the grounds that this request is

## Case3:08-cv-03251-WHA Document183-3 Filed10/08/09 Page23 of 37

1	Dated: Mar	ch 6 2009	CARR & FE	PPFII 110		,
2	<i>Duitu</i> . 17141	011 0, 2005	Critica & 1 L	KKELL LEF		
3			$\rho_{00}$	200	/	
4			By: ROBERT J. Z	ORIO	$\rightarrow$	
5			COLBY B/S CHRISTOPH	PRINGER IER P. GREWE		
6			Attorneys for	Defendant/Cour ORPORATION	nterclaimant	
7			13131AR C	ORFORATION		
8						
9						
10						
11						
12	•					
13					•	
14						
15						
16						
17						•
18	,					,
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 2 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 3 APPLE INC., a California corporation, CASE NO. CV-08-03251-WHA 4 Plaintiff, PSYSTAR CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO APPLE INC.'S 5 FOURTH (CORRECTED) SET OF REQUESTS FOR PSYSTAR CORPORATION, 6 ADMISSION (NOS. 162-226) 7 Defendants. AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS 8 9 PROPOUNDING PARTY: APPLE INC. 10 **RESPONDING PARTY:** PSYSTAR CORPORATION 11 SET NO: FOUR (4) (CORRECTED) 12 13 Pursuant to Rule 36 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, defendant Psystar Corporation 14 15 hereby responds to plaintiff Apple Inc.'s Corrected Fourth Set of Requests for Admission (Nos. 162) 16 226) as follows: 17 **GENERAL STATEMENTS AND OBJECTIONS** 18 1. Each of the following General Objections apply to each Request and are 19 incorporated into each response (Nos. 162-226) below. Specific assertion of the same, similar, or 20 additional objections in any or all individual responses does not waive other objections set forth 21 22 herein. 23 2. Discovery in this case is continuing. Psystar's responses below are based on and 24 limited to discovery available as of the date of this response. Continuing discovery, investigation, 25 and analysis, may provide new and additional facts and may establish new factual and legal 26 conclusions and contentions. Such discovery may cause additions, changes, modifications, 27 28 PSYSTAR CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO APPLE INC.'S FOURTH (CORRECTED) SET OF REQUESTS FORADMISSION (NOS. 162-226) - 1 -CASE NO. CV 08-03251 WHA

### RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 163:

Psystar Corporation incorporates by reference herein its General Statement and Objections stated above. Psystar Corporation objects to the above request to the extent that it calls for the disclosure of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege, protection, or right providing for non-disclosure.

Psystar Corporation objects to the this request as vague, ambiguous, compound and unintelligible with regard to the phrase "YOU had knowledge" because it incorporates by reference a definition of YOU including "its present and former divisions, predecessors-in-interest, and related entities, subsidiaries, and all present and former employees, officers, directors, agents, representatives, and persons acting at its discretion, under its control, or on its behalf, including persons involved in the planning or startup process."

Psystar Corporation also objects to this request on the grounds that the phrase "knowledge of APPLE's Software License Agreement" is vague, ambiguous, compound and unintelligible.

Subject to and without waiving its foregoing objections and the General Statement and Objections, and based on the information now available to Psystar Corporation, Psystar Corporation responds as follows:

Psystar Corporation admits that a document entitled or purporting to be a Software License Agreement for Mac OS X Server exists. To the extent this request seeks to acknowledge the legal effect, if any, of a Software License Agreement for Mac OS X Server, Psystar Corporation is without sufficient information to admit or deny it; and therefore denies it. Except as expressly admitted, and based on its several objections, Psystar Corporation denies this request.

### **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 164:**

Admit that Psystar offers a restore disc to its customers that allows customers to re-install Mac OS X on the computers purchased from PSYSTAR with MAC OS X.

PSYSTAR CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO APPLE INC.'S FOURTH (CORRECTED) SET OF REQUESTS FORADMISSION (NOS. 162-226)

- 10 -

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 164:

Psystar Corporation incorporates by reference herein its General Statement and Objections stated above. Psystar Corporation objects to the above request to the extent that it calls for the disclosure of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege, protection, or right providing for non-disclosure.

Psystar Corporation objects to the this request as vague, ambiguous, compound and unintelligible with regard to the phrase "Psystar [PSYSTAR] offers" because it incorporates by reference a definition of PSYSTAR including "its present and former divisions, predecessors-in-interest, and related entities, subsidiaries, and all present and former employees, officers, directors, agents, representatives, and persons acting at its discretion, under its control, or on its behalf, including persons involved in the planning or startup process."

Psystar further objects to this request as vague, ambiguous, compound and unintelligible with regard to the term "its customers" because Psystar Corporation's customers purchase a wide variety of computer hardware from Psystar.

Psystar objects to this request as redundant, or alternatively, to the extent it is redundant. See Apple, Inc.'s Second Set of Requests for Admission at Request For Admission No. 62.

Subject to and without waiving its foregoing objections and the General Statement and Objections, and based on the information now available to Psystar Corporation, Psystar Corporation responds as follows:

Psystar Corporation admits that it provides a "restore disk" to purchasers of computers sold by Psystar Corporation but otherwise denies this request. The "restore disk" does not allow a user to install MAC OS X on PSYSTAR PRODUCTS. The "restore disk" allows a user "to reinstall their OS should something go drastically wrong." The "restore disc" allows the "Open Computer to boot from the native Leopard installation DVD." (<a href="http://www.psystar.com/restore.html">http://www.psystar.com/restore.html</a>) If a PSYSTAR CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO APPLE INC.'S FOURTH (CORRECTED) SET OF REQUESTS FORADMISSION (NOS. 162-226)

purchaser purchases Leopard and an Open Computer the restore disc allows reinstallation of the Leopard OS X operating system, purchased by the purchaser, directly from the original retail-packaged DVD which is included with the computer. (http://store.psystar.com/faqs/#faq2).

## **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 165:**

Admit that PSYSTAR knows that one or more of its customers has installed MAC OS X onto the Open Computer through the use of PSYSTAR's restore disc.

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 165

Psystar Corporation incorporates by reference herein its General Statement and Objections stated above. Psystar Corporation objects to the above request to the extent that it calls for the disclosure of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege, protection, or right providing for non-disclosure.

Psystar Corporation objects to the this request as vague, ambiguous, compound and unintelligible with regard to the phrase "PSYSTAR knows" because it incorporates by reference a definition of PSYSTAR including "its present and former divisions, predecessors-in-interest, and related entities, subsidiaries, and all present and former employees, officers, directors, agents, representatives, and persons acting at its discretion, under its control, or on its behalf, including persons involved in the planning or startup process."

Psystar Corporation also objects to this request on the grounds that the phrase "knows that one or more of its customers" is vague, ambiguous, compound and unintelligible.

Subject to and without waiving its foregoing objections and the General Statement and Objections, and based on the information now available to Psystar Corporation, Psystar Corporation responds as follows:

Psystar Corporation admits that it provides a "restore disk" to purchasers of computers sold by Psystar Corporation but otherwise denies this request. The "restore disk" does not allow a user PSYSTAR CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO APPLE INC.'S FOURTH (CORRECTED) SET OF REQUESTS FORADMISSION (NOS. 162-226)

# Case3:08-cv-03251-WHA Document183-3 Filed10/08/09 Page29 of 37

	· 
1	Dated: August 6, 2009
2	Camara & Sibley <i>llp</i>
3	
4	
5	By: <u>/s K. A. D. Camara</u>
6	K.A.D. CAMARA
7	
8	Dated: August 6, 2009 WELKER & ROSARIO
9	
10	By: <u>/s David Welker</u>
11	DAVID WELKER
12	
13	Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaimant PSYSTAR CORPORATION
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	PSYSTAR CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO APPLE INC.'S FOURTH (CORRECTED) SET OF REQUESTS FORADMISSION (NOS. 162-226) - 76 -
	CASE NO. CV 08-03251 WHA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 2 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 3 APPLE INC., a California corporation. CASE NO. CV-08-03251-WHA Plaintiff, 4 PSYSTAR CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO APPLE INC.'S FOURTH (CORRECTED) SET OF 5 v. REQUESTS FOR PSYSTAR CORPORATION, 6 ADMISSION (NOS. 227-306) Defendants. 7 8 AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS 9 PROPOUNDING PARTY: APPLE INC. 10 **RESPONDING PARTY: PSYSTAR CORPORATION** 11 SET NO: **FIVE (5)** 12 13 Pursuant to Rule 36 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, defendant Psystar Corporation hereby 14 15 responds to plaintiff Apple Inc.'s Corrected Fourth Set of Requests for Admission (Nos. 227-306) 16 as follows: 17 GENERAL STATEMENTS AND OBJECTIONS 18 Each of the following General Objections apply to each Request and are 19 incorporated into each response (Nos. 226-306) below. Specific assertion of the same, similar, or 20 additional objections in any or all individual responses does not waive other objections set forth 21 herein. 22 23 2. The following responses are made without prejudice to Psystar's right to produce or 24 rely on subsequently discovered information, facts and documents. Psystar reserves its right to 25 modify, amend, supplement, or change any response herein upon continuing: discovery information, 26 analysis of existing information, and legal research and legal theory formulation; each of which may 27 lead to additions, changes to, or variations from the information set forth herein. 28 PSYSTAR CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO APPLE INC.'S FIFTH (CORRECTED) SET OF REQUESTS FORADMISSION (NOS. 227-306) CASE NO. CV 08-03251 WHA

including persons involved in the planning or startup process for the entity that became known as Psystar Corporation."

Subject to and without waiving the prior objections, denied.

**REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 245:** 

Admit that PSYSTAR has used a disk image to install iWork® on PSYSTAR PRODUCTS. **RESPONSE:** 

Psystar Corporation incorporates by reference herein its General Statement and Objections stated above. Psystar Corporation objects to the above request to the extent that it calls for the disclosure of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege, protection, or right providing for non-disclosure.

Psystar Corporation objects to the this request as vague, ambiguous, compound and unintelligible with regard to the phrase "PSYSTAR created" because it incorporates by reference a definition of PSYSTAR including "its present and former divisions, predecessors-in-interest, and related entities, subsidiaries, and all present and former employees, officers, directors, agents, representatives, attorneys, and persons acting at its direction, under its control, or on its behalf, including persons involved in the planning or startup process for the entity that became known as Psystar Corporation."

Subject to and without waiving the prior objections, denied.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 246:

Admit that each segment of a Mach-O file that has a flag 0x08 bit set is encrypted.

## **RESPONSE:**

Psystar Corporation incorporates by reference herein its General Statement and Objections stated above. Psystar Corporation objects to the above request to the extent that it calls for the disclosure of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or PSYSTAR CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO APPLE INC.'S FIFTH (CORRECTED) SET OF REQUESTS FORADMISSION (NOS. 227-306)

- 19 -

CASE NO. CV 08-03251 WHA

any other applicable privilege, protection, or right providing for non-disclosure. 1 2 Subject to and without waiving the prior objections, admitted. 3 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 247:** Admit that each segment of a Mach-O file that has a flag 0x08 bit set cannot be executed 5 unless the segment is decrypted. 6 **RESPONSE:** 7 Psystar Corporation incorporates by reference herein its General Statement and Objections 8 stated above. Psystar Corporation objects to the above request to the extent that it calls for the 9 disclosure of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or 10 any other applicable privilege, protection, or right providing for non-disclosure. 11 Subject to and without waiving the prior objections, admited. 12 13 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 248:** 14 Admit that a segment of a Mach-O file that has a flag 0x08 bit set cannot be executed on a PSYSTAR COMPUTER, purchased in March 2009, without OpenCojones.kext. 15 16 **RESPONSE:** Psystar Corporation incorporates by reference herein its General Statement and Objections 17 stated above. Psystar Corporation objects to the above request to the extent that it calls for the 18 disclosure of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or 19 20 any other applicable privilege, protection, or right providing for non-disclosure. 21 Subject to and without waiving the prior objections, denied. 22 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 249:** 23 Admit that PSYSTAR has stated on its website that PSYSTAR "will promote Open Source 24 projects in every way possible." 25 **RESPONSE:** 26 Psystar Corporation incorporates by reference herein its General Statement and Objections 27 stated above. Psystar Corporation objects to the above request to the extent that it calls for the 28 PSYSTAR CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO APPLE INC.'S FIFTH (CORRECTED) SET OF REQUESTS FORADMISSION (NOS. 227-306)

CASE NO. CV 08-03251 WHA

- 20 -

Admit that PSYSTAR has used an imaging station with a copy of MAC OS X to install MAC OS X onto PSYSTAR's Rackmount Open(7).

RESPONSE: Subject to and without waiving the prior objections, admitted.

**REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 300:** 

Admit that PSYSTAR has used an imaging station with a copy of iLife® to install iLife® onto PSYSTAR PRODUCTS.

### **RESPONSE:**

Psystar Corporation incorporates by reference herein its General Statement and Objections stated above. Psystar Corporation objects to the above request to the extent that it calls for the disclosure of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege, protection, or right providing for non-disclosure. Psystar further objects to the extent this request calls for legal conclusions.

Subject to and without waiving the prior objections, denied.

## REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 301:

Admit that PSYSTAR has used an imaging station with a copy of iWork® to install iWork® onto PSYSTAR PRODUCTS.

## **RESPONSE:**

Psystar Corporation incorporates by reference herein its General Statement and Objections stated above. Psystar Corporation objects to the above request to the extent that it calls for the disclosure of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege, protection, or right providing for non-disclosure. Psystar further objects to the extent this request calls for legal conclusions.

Subject to and without waiving the prior objections, denied.

**REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 302:** 

PSYSTAR CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO APPLE INC.'S FIFTH (CORRECTED) SET OF REQUESTS FORADMISSION (NOS. 227-306)

- 40 -

Admit that all PSYSTAR PRODUCTS contain one of the following three kernel extensions: dsmos.kext, AppleDecrypt.kext or OpenCojones.kext.

### **RESPONSE:**

Psystar Corporation incorporates by reference herein its General Statement and Objections stated above. Psystar Corporation objects to the above request to the extent that it calls for the disclosure of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege, protection, or right providing for non-disclosure. Psystar further objects to the extent this request calls for legal conclusions.

Subject to and without waiving the prior objections, admitted. REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 303:

Admit that PSYSTAR PRODUCTS shipped from April 2008 through July 2008 contain dsmos.kext or AppleDecrypt.kext.

### **RESPONSE:**

Psystar Corporation incorporates by reference herein its General Statement and Objections stated above. Psystar Corporation objects to the above request to the extent that it calls for the disclosure of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege, protection, or right providing for non-disclosure. Psystar further objects to the extent this request calls for legal conclusions.

Subject to and without waiving the prior objections, denied.

## REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 304:

Admit that PSYSTAR PRODUCTS shipped from April 2008 through July 2008 contain dsmos.kext and AppleDecrypt.kext.

### **RESPONSE:**

Psystar Corporation incorporates by reference herein its General Statement and Objections stated above. Psystar Corporation objects to the above request to the extent that it calls for the disclosure of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or PSYSTAR CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO APPLE INC.'S FIFTH (CORRECTED) SET OF REQUESTS FORADMISSION (NOS. 227-306)

CASE NO. CV 08-03251 WHA

any other applicable privilege, protection, or right providing for non-disclosure. Psystar further objects to the extent this request calls for legal conclusions.

Subject to and without waiving the prior objections, denied.

## **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 305:**

Admit all Psystar PRODUCTS shipped from April 2008 through June 2009 contain on of the following three kernel extensions: dsmos.kext, AppleDecrypt.kext or OpenCojones.kext.

**RESPONSE:** Subject to and without waiving the prior objections: Admitted.

## **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 306:**

Admit that all PSYSTAR PRODUCTS contain software to get around "countermeasures built into Mac OS X," as that term is used by PSYSTAR in PS00009264.

### **RESPONSE:**

Psystar Corporation incorporates by reference herein its General Statement and Objections stated above. Psystar Corporation objects to the above request to the extent that it calls for the disclosure of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege, protection, or right providing for non-disclosure. Psystar further objects to the extent this request calls for legal conclusions.

Subject to and without waiving the prior objections, denied.

PSYSTAR CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO APPLE INC.'S FIFTH (CORRECTED) SET OF REQUESTS FORADMISSION (NOS. 227-306)

1	Dated: August 19, 2009	
2		CAMARA & SIBLEY <i>LLP</i>
3		
4		By: /s K. A. D. Camara
5		
6.		K.A.D. CAMARA
. 7	Dated: August 19, 2009	Welker & Rosario
8	Dated: Magast 19, 2009	WELKER & RUSARIO
9		\.
10		By: /s David Welker
11		DAVID WELKER
12		Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaimant
13		Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaimant PSYSTAR CORPORATION
14		
15		
16		
17		
18	. •	
19		
20		
21 22		
23		
24		
25	·	
26		
27		
28	PSYSTAR CORPORATION'S RI (CORRECTED) SET OF REQUESTS	ESPONSE TO APPLE INC.'S FIFTH S FORADMISSION (NOS. 227-306)
	CASE NO. CV 08-03251 WHA	- 43 -