the initial Case Management Conference scheduled for November 18, 2009 be continued for 60 days. Plaintiffs and Defendant are in the process of attempting to resolve this matter. Plaintiffs filed the original Complaint and Petition to Confirm in this action on July 9, 2008. On or around November 2008, Defendant agreed to allow Plaintiffs' auditors to audit their records to determine whether any amounts were due and owing as a result of the audit. Plaintiffs thereafter conducted an audit of Defendant's records and found a number of delinquencies owed by Defendant. On or around February 2009, Plaintiffs submitted their audit findings to Defendant to allow Defendant the opportunity to review those results and challenge any delinquencies it believed were in error. After receiving no response from Defendant, Plaintiffs filed their First Amended Complaint for Petition to Confirm Arbitration Award, Breach of Contract, Damages, and Breach of Fiduciary Duty on May 7, 2009. On or around May 15, 2009, Plaintiffs received documentation from Defendant. On or around June 3, 2009, Plaintiffs were notified that Defendant had retained legal counsel on or around May 21, 2009. On June 4, at the request of the parties, the Court granted Defendant an extension of time to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint to July 31, 2009. The parties thereafter stipulated to two additional extensions of time for Defendant to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint to August 31, 2009 and September 9, 2009, respectively. Defendant timely answered on September 9, 2009. As a result of the documentation that Defendant submitted to Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs have made adjustments to the audit worksheets. Plaintiffs and Defendant are currently engaged in a review of the revised audit worksheets and are attempting to resolve the matter without further litigation. The parties have requested one prior continuance of the Case Management Conference. A second continuance would promote judicial efficiency because the parties are very close to

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-12 and 16-2, Plaintiffs and Defendant hereby request that

///

///

27

28 EINBERG, ROGER & ROSENFELD

essional Corporation Marina Village Parkway Suite 200 keda, CA 94501-1091

1	Based on the above, Plaintiffs and Defendant respectfully request that the initial case
2	management conference scheduled for November 18, 2009 be continued for 60 days, in order to
3	allow the parties time to attempt to resolve the matter without further litigation.
4	Dated: November 12, 2009
5	WEINBERG, ROGER & ROSENFELD A Professional Corporation
6	By: /s/
7	KRISTINA M. ZINNEN
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
9	
10	Dated: November 12, 2009 SEYFARTH SHAW LLP
11	SETTARTI MAW ELF
12	By: ARI HERSHER
13	Attorney for Defendant
14	
15	[PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
16	Based upon the foregoing Stipulated Request to Continue Case Management Conference,
17	the Court orders the continuance of the case management conference for 60 days, or as soon
18	thereafter as a court date is available. In addition, the Court Orders:
19	The CMC is reset from 11/18/09 to 1/27/10 at 1:30 p.m. A Joint CMC statement shall be filed by 1/20/10. Plaintiff shall serve a copy of this order upon defendant.
20	11/10/00
21	Dated: 11/12/09  The Honorable Edward W. Chen
22	The Honorable Edward W. Chen United States Magistrate Judge  IT IS SO ORDERED  ODIFIED
23	IT IS SO ON
24	115853/550967 Z Judge Edward M. Chen
25	
26	THERN DISTRICT OF CE
27	

28
WEINBERG, ROGER & ROSENFELD
A Professional Corporation
1001 Manna Village Parkway
Suice 200
Alameda, CA 94501-1091
(\$10) 337-1001

- 3 -