1	JONATHAN E. GERTLER (Bar No. 111531) DANIEL B. SIEGEL (Bar No. 160742)	JOANNA L. BROOKS (SBN182986) TIMOTHY C. TRAVELSTEAD (SBN
2	LISA D. FIALCO (Bar No.216682)	215260)
3	CHAVEZ & GERTLER LLP	ANNE V. LEINFELDER (SBN 230272)
4	42 Miller Avenue, Mill Valley, CA 94941 Tel: (415) 381-5599 Fax: (415) 381-5572	DOUGLAS M. BRIA (SBN 226966) JACKSON LEWIS LLP 199 Fremont Street, 10th Floor
5	TODD M. SCHNEIDER (Bar No. 158253)	San Francisco, CA 94105
6	JOSHUA G. KONECKY (Bar No. 182897)	Telephone 415.394.9400
7	CHRISTIAN SCHREIBER (Bar No. 245597) LISA M. BOWMAN (Bar No. 253843) SCHNEIDER WALLACE	Facsimile: 415.394.9401 Email: brooksj@jacksonlewis.com
8	COTTRELL BRAYTON KONECKY LLP	
	180 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000	Attorneys for Defendant
9	San Francisco, CA 94104 Tel: (415) 421-7100 Fax: (415) 421-7105	PEROT SYSTEMS CORPORATION
10	161. (113) 121 7100 Lax. (113) 121 7103	
11	RICARDO DE ROSA (Bar No. 221226)	
12	LAW OFFICES OF RICARDO DE ROSA 6640 Brook Falls Circle	
12	Stockton, California, 95219	
13	Tel: (209) 345-7077 Fax: (209) 476-0443	
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff ANGELITA GOMEZ	
15	And the Proposed Class	
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
18	ANICELITA COMEZ in the treatment of	G N. GV 09 02227 GG
19	ANGELITA GOMEZ, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated	Case No. CV-08-03337-SC
20	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
21	VS.	ORDER REGARDING ADR & CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
22	vs.	MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
	DEDOT SYSTEMS CODDOD ATION and	Hay analyla Campal Campi
23	PEROT SYSTEMS CORPORATION and DOES 1-25, inclusive,	Honorable Samuel Conti
24	D.C. I.	
25	Defendants.	
26		
27	Defendant Perot Systems Corporation ("Defendant") and Plaintiff Angelita Gomez	
28	("Plaintiff") (collectively referred to here as "the	e Parties") request a continuance of their ADR date
LACE	STIPULATION & IPROPOSEDI ORDER REGARDING ADR AND CMC CONTINUANCE	

SCHNEIDER WALLACE COTTRELL BRAYTON KONECKY ILP

and the next Case Management Conference until May 29, 2009. The basis of the request is that the parties need additional time to conduct discovery necessary for mediation.

By way of background, this case is a putative class action concerning the alleged failure to compensate employees for time spent while "on call" and allegedly subject to the control of their employer. Since the initial Case Management Conference on November 21, 2008, the parties have through meet and confer agreed to conduct discovery in two phases. Phase I includes the production of company policy documents, technical data, and documents that may bear upon class certification and merits issues. Defendant began the production of these documents and data on February 13, 2009. Phase II discovery will include electronic document searches of Defendant's client entities, as well as depositions of Defendant's 30(b)(6) witnesses and the production of wage and payroll data. The parties expect Phase II to be completed by May. The parties agree that both Phase I and Phase II discovery needs to occur to prepare for mediation.

Plaintiff suggested that the parties participate in a mediation session on May 8, 2009 before Mark Rudy, Esq. Defendant informed Plaintiffs on February 24, 2009 that it does not believe mediation on this date would be appropriate given its expectation that Phase II discovery will be ongoing. The parties agree to schedule mediation after Phase II discovery is complete.

Accordingly, the parties request the Court vacate the ADR deadline and move the Case Management Conference from April 17, 2009, to May 29, 2009 at 10 a.m. in Courtroom 1. The parties believe they will be able to provide the Court with a more meaningful status update by the end of May assuming progress pursuant to the parties' phased discovery agreement.

Dated: February 27, 2009

CHAVEZ & GERTLER LLP

SCHNEIDER WALLACE COTTRELL BRAYTON KONECKY LLP

LAW OFFICES OF RICARDO DE ROSA

Christian Schreiber

Attorneys for Plaintiff Angelita Gomez

1 Dated: February 27, 2009 JACKSON LEWIS-ŁIP 2 By: 3 JoAnna L. Brooks 4 Timothy C. Travelstead Anne V. Leinfelder 5 Attorney for Defendant PEROT SYSTEMS CORP., 6 a Delaware Corporation 7 8 **ORDER** 9 Upon review of the foregoing Stipulation and good cause appearing therefore, the Court makes 10 the following ORDERS: 11 1. The ADR Deadline is VACATED and will be reset at the next Case Management 12 Conference; 13 2. The Case Management Conference currently scheduled for April 17, 2009, is 14 CONTINUED to May 29, 2009, at 10 a.m. in Courtroom 1. The Parties shall file a joint 15 Case Management Conference Statement seven (7) days prior to the status conference. 16 17 18 March 2, 2009 19 Dated: February \_\_\_\_\_, 2009 20 Samuel C United Mdge 21 22 23 24 25 26

27

28