

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
7

8 ERIC JAMES BAKER,

9 Plaintiff,

No. 08-03433 EDL

10 v.

**ORDER REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR  
LEAVE TO FILE OPPOSITION BRIEF  
IN EXCESS OF 25 PAGES**

11 COUNTY OF SONOMA,

12 Defendants.  
13 \_\_\_\_\_/

14 Plaintiff has filed an administrative motion requesting that he be allowed to file an Opposition  
15 to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment of up to 45 pages. Having considered the papers, the  
16 Court GRANTS IN PART AND DENIES IN PART the administrative request. There is no  
17 justification for Plaintiff having waited until one business day before the Opposition was due to  
18 request a stipulation from Defendants or to file this administrative motion. However, in an  
19 abundance of caution and to ensure that Plaintiff is adequately able to make all arguments in  
20 opposition to the Motion for Summary Judgment, the Court will allow Plaintiff to file an Opposition  
21 of no more than 35 pages. Any such opposition shall be filed today, January 11, 2010, the existing  
22 deadline for the Opposition.

23 If they believe it is necessary, Defendants are allowed five additional pages for their Reply,  
24 which remains due on January 18, 2010.

25  
26 IT IS SO ORDERED.

27 Dated: January 11, 2010  
28

*Elizabeth D. Laporte*

ELIZABETH D. LAPORTE  
United States Magistrate Judge

**United States District Court**  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28