

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

VINCENT ROSENBALM,

No. C 08-3436 SI (pr)

Petitioner,

ORDER

v.

ED FOULK,
CEO of Napa State Hospital,

Respondent.

This action was dismissed and judgment was entered on December 15, 2008.

Nonetheless, petitioner has continued to file documents in this action, including statements about events in his state court criminal case and his conditions of confinement at the Napa State Hospital, as well as about disputes he has with the Ukiah police department and Mendocino County Sheriff's Department. The court already explained to petitioner that the claims were deficient for the reasons explained in the Order of Dismissal in this action, as well as in the Order of Dismissal in Rosenbalm v. Foulk, No. C 07-4197 SI.

Petitioner also requested restitution and victim's services under 18 U.S.C. § 3771, urging that what happened to him – in his state court criminal case, in his dealings with police and sheriff's deputies, in his dispute with his landlord, and in his commitment to Napa State Hospital – was downright criminal. The request is DENIED because he does not come within the scope of the statute. See 18 U.S.C. § 3771(e) (defining a "crime victim" for purposes of the statute as "a person directly and proximately harmed as a result of the

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

commission of a Federal offense"). (Docket #32, # 38, # 42.)

Petitioner should not file any more documents in this long-closed action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: July 13, 2010



SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Judge