

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5

6
7 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and
8 FUKKILA MERRIDA, Revenue
9 Officer,

10
11 Petitioners,

12 v.

13 THEODORE PADUA,

14 Respondent.
15 _____ /

No. C 08-3714 WDB

ORDER REGARDING DEFENDANT
MOTION FOR MORE DEFINITE
STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION AND
RELATED NOTICES AND DEMANDS;
NOTICE OF REASSIGNMENT

16 On January 21, 2009, a hearing was conducted on defendant Theodore Padua's "Notice
17 and Demand for A Definite Statement of Allegation of *Bona Fide* Jurisdiction" and defendant's
18 other notices and demands. Thomas Newsom appeared as counsel for the United States;
19 defendant appeared pro se. Having reviewed all papers presented by both sides and having heard
20 defendant's oral argument, for reasons set forth herein and more fully on the record, the Court
21 DENIES defendant's Motion and all his other notices and demands.

22 Defendant admits that he resides within the Northern District of California, thus
23 conceding the fact sufficient to support the exercise by this Court of personal jurisdiction over
24 him.

25 Defendant has failed to show that the statutes on which the government relies do not
26 confer on this Court subject matter jurisdiction over these proceedings.

27 Because the government has shown that the Court has both personal and subject matter
28 jurisdiction over the matter, the litigation will proceed in this District.

1 Defendant respectfully declined to consent to the jurisdiction of the Magistrate Judge.
2 For that reason, the Clerk of this Court will now randomly reassign this ^{matter (USA)} case to a United States
3 District Judge. The Order To Show Cause Re Enforcement of Internal Revenue Service
4 Summonses will be heard by the reassigned United States District Judge.

5
6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7
8 Dated: 1/22/09

9 
WAYNE D. BRAZIL
United States Magistrate Judge

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28