

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5

6 LAWRENCE GEORGE HASH,

No. C 08-03729 MMC (DMR)

7 Plaintiff(s),

**ORDER DISCHARGING ORDER TO
SHOW CAUSE**

8 v.

9 MATTHEW CATE,

10 Defendant(s).
11 _____/

12 On October 24, 2012, the court issued Plaintiff Lawrence Hash an order to show cause why
13 the court should not grant Defendants' two pending discovery motions, [Docket Nos. 93, 95].
14 [Docket No. 106.] Plaintiff's oppositions or statements of non-opposition to the motions had been
15 due October 17, 2012, and the court had not received them as of the time it issued the order. Later
16 on October 24, 2012, however, the court received and filed Plaintiff's oppositions. [Docket Nos.
17 108, 109.]

18 On November 6, 2012, the court received Plaintiff's response to the order to show cause.
19 [Docket No. 112.] Plaintiff attached the proofs of service for his oppositions, which indicate that he
20 mailed them to the Court on October 17, 2012. [See Docket No. 112 at 2-3.] Because Plaintiff filed
21 them in a timely manner, the court discharges the order to show cause and deems Plaintiff's
22 oppositions properly filed.

23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24
25 Dated: November 8, 2012
26
27
28

