

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
Northern District of California

MARCUS LANDRY,

Plaintiff(s),

No. C 08-03791 SC (MEJ)

v.

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE**

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN  
FRANCISCO,


Defendant(s).

\_\_\_\_\_ /

The Court is in receipt of the parties' three discovery dispute letters, filed September 8, 2009. (Dkt. ##58-60.) Upon review of the letters, it is apparent that they involve an issue that is becoming all too familiar in this case; namely, Plaintiffs' counsel's lack of compliance with Court's orders and, more generally, his duties as a lawyer before this Court. Accordingly, the Court hereby **ORDERS** Plaintiff's counsel to show cause why sanctions should not be imposed, including further monetary sanctions, as well as discovery sanctions (e.g., deeming certain disputed issues admitted and barring the use of certain information). Plaintiffs' counsel shall file a declaration by September 24, 2009, and the Court shall conduct a hearing on October 8, 2009 at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom B, 15th Floor, 450 Golden Gate Avenue.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: September 16, 2009

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Maria-Elena James  
Chief United States Magistrate Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
For the Northern District of California