

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

****E-filed 10/19/2010****

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

CHARLES GILLIS, et al.,

No. C 08-3871 RS

Plaintiffs,

v.

**ORDER RE HEARINGS ON MOTION
FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER AND
MOTION FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT**

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, et al.


Defendants.

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-1(b), defendants' motion for a protective order presently set for hearing on October 21, 2010 is hereby submitted for decision without oral argument. Defendants' motion for summary judgment was also set for hearing on October 21, 2010, but the parties were directed to meet and confer regarding stipulating to continue it in light of the issues regarding how much, if any, further discovery should go forward. Although the parties have failed to file any stipulation, good cause appears to vacate the hearing date. Rescheduling the motion for

1 summary judgment will be discussed at the upcoming case management conference on **October 28,**
2 **2010.**¹

3
4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5
6
7 Dated: 10/19/10

8 
9 RICHARD SEEBORG
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

¹ A prior order misstated the date of the case management conference as October 21, 2010.