Doc. 78

1 **Defendant Demands:** 2 Document Requests 14 & 15, 30 (includes Interrogatory No. 19). 3 Plaintiff agrees to produce attachments 4 & 5 for every RSLA entered into between 1999 4 and August of 2008. Plaintiff may redact customer identifying information. 5 6 **Document Request 19.** 7 Plaintiff agrees to supplement its response to RFP # 19 by producing the Bo Yan 8 Interview Summary. 9 10 **Document Request 31 - 37.** 11 12 Plaintiff agrees to supplement its response by providing all non-privileged documents 13 related to Plaintiff's RSLA disputes with the following companies: 14 15 REBUS, Inc.; PSIGEN, Inc., Atalasoft, Inc., Top Imaging Systems, Inc., HanWang, Inc. 16 **Interrogatories 4, 5, 7 – 12:** 17 18 Plaintiff agrees to supplement its responses within 10 days following Defendant's 19 supplemental production as set forth, infra. 20 21 **Interrogatory 18:** 22 Plaintiff agrees to provide supplemental responses by September 18, 2009. 23 **Plaintiff Demands:** 24 **Document Demands to Doculex 98** 25 26 Defendant agrees to supplement its response by producing internal communications 27 regarding the software engineering design and development of any software products from the 28 trade name list by September 18, 2009, to the extent any exist, and have not already been JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER FOLLOWING IN-PERSON MEET & CONFER ON

DISCOVERY DISPUTE

1 produced. 2 **Document Demands to Doculex 96 and 108** 3 4 Defendants agree that if the Court denies Defendant's summary adjudication motion as to 5 Discovery Cracker, Defendants will supplement their production by providing Discovery 6 Cracker Division sales documents within 10 days after the Court ruling. 7 8 **Document Demands to Doculex (100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105)** 9 Defendant agrees to supplement its production regarding customer downloads for RTK 10 software upgrades for products on the trade name list by September 18, 2009, if any documents 11 exist. 12 13 **Document Demands to Doculex (92)** 14 15 Defendant agrees to supplement its production by providing any earlier versions of the 16 program known as Discovery Cracker (prior to version 5.0) in its custody and control, by 17 September 18, 2009. 18 19 **Document Demands to Individual Defendants** 20 **Document Demands to David Bailey (10):** 21 Defendant agrees to supplement his production regarding marketing plans for products on 22 the trade name list by September 18, 2009, if any documents exist. 23 24 Richardson Intellectual Property Law, Prof. Corp. 25 26 27 /s/ Daniel R. Richardson 28 Daniel R. Richardson, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER FOLLOWING IN-PERSON MEET & CONFER ON DISCOVERY DISPUTE

Kinsella Weitzman Iser Kump & Aldisert, LLP /s/ David W. Swift David W. Swift, Esq. Attorney for Defendants IT IS SO ORDERED Date: September 2, 2009 Judge Joseph C. Spero