

1 intervenors' motion, representing that the motion became moot when
2 the settlement was finalized and paid in full on January 11, 2010.
3 Doc #177 at 2. Defendant-intervenors have neither contested
4 Riverport's representation nor withdrawn the instant motion.

5 Because Riverport has represented to the court that the
6 settlement has been paid in full, defendant-intervenors are ORDERED
7 to SHOW CAUSE in writing on or before February 12, 2010 why their
8 motion to enforce the settlement should not be denied as moot.
9 Failure to respond to this order shall be deemed grounds to deny
10 the motion. The hearing scheduled for February 4, 2010 is VACATED.

11
12
13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

14 

15 _____
16 VAUGHN R WALKER
17 United States District Chief Judge
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28