1	
1 2	
2	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	έως της νωρτήσην διάτριστως σαι ισωρνία
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	DONNIE COX,
11	Plaintiff, No. C 08-03927 WHA
12	v.
13 14	ELECTRONIC DATA SYSTEMSREMINDER NOTICE OFCORPORATION, and DOES 1UPCOMING TRIAL AND FINALthrough 10, inclusive,PRETRIAL CONFERENCE
15	Defendants.
16	/
17	This notice serves as a friendly reminder that this case remains set for a FINAL PRETRIAL
18	CONFERENCE on DECEMBER 21, 2009, at 2:00 P.M., with a JURY TRIAL on JANUARY 11, 2010.
19	Please consult the existing case management order and review and follow all standing guidelines
20	and orders of the undersigned for civil cases on the Court's website at
21	http://www.cand.uscourts.gov. Continuances will rarely be granted.
22	The final pretrial conference will be an important event, for it will be there that the shape
23	of the upcoming trial will be determined, including in limine orders, time limits and exhibit
24	mechanics. Lead trial counsel must attend.
25	To avoid any misunderstanding with respect to the final pretrial conference and trial, the
26 27	Court wishes to emphasize that all filings and appearances must be made — on pain of dismissal,
27	default or other sanction — unless and until a dismissal fully resolving the case is received.
28	It will not be enough to inform the clerk that a settlement in principle has been reached or to

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

lodge a partially executed settlement agreement or to lodge a fully executed agreement (or 1 2 dismissal) that resolves less than the entire case. Where, however, a fully-executed and 3 unconditional settlement agreement clearly and fully disposing of the entire case is lodged 4 reasonably in advance of the pretrial conference or trial and only a ministerial act remains, the 5 Court will arrange a telephone conference to work out an alternate procedure pending a formal 6 dismissal.

In order to evaluate whether the Court can be of further ADR assistance, please file a joint report within fourteen days of service of this order describing the progress and status of your ADR efforts to date and any further ADR recommendations by the parties.

10 In this case, the Court wishes to consider the following additional trial procedures and desires that counsel meet and confer and reach a stipulation concerning whether and how to use them:

> 1. Scheduling opposing experts so as to appear in successive order;

2. Giving preliminary instructions on the law;

3. Allowing limited pre-closing deliberations (as per, e.g., Rule 39 of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure); and

4. Allowing each side fifteen minutes of opening/argument time to be used during the evidence time (in addition to normal opening statement and closing argument).

Please present the results of your stipulation (or not) in the joint pretrial conference submissions.

24 Dated: November 30, 2009.

Ahme

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE